PX 14

```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   2
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
   3
   4
       SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
        COMMISSION,
   5
                    Plaintiff,
                                      ) Case No.:
                                      ) 20-Civ-10832(AT)(SN)
 6
                v.
       RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY
  7
       GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN
       LARSEN,
 8
  9
                   Defendants.
10
11
            **CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**
12
13
14
                     VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
                      PATRICK WARREN GRIFFIN
 16
                       Tuesday, June 29, 2021
 17
 18
  19
 20
  21
  22
  23
      Reported by:
  24
       BRIDGET LOMBARDOZZI,
       CSR, RMR, CRR, CLR
  25
      Job No. 210629BLO
```

```
2
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
   2
   3
   4
        SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
        COMMISSION,
   5
                      Plaintiff,
                                       ) Case No.:
 6
                                       ) 20-Civ-10832(AT)(SN)
  7
        RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY
        GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN
        LARSEN,
 8
  9
                    Defendants.
10
11
12
 13
14
15
            Videotaped deposition of PATRICK WARREN GRIFFIN
        taken on behalf of Plaintiff, held at the offices of
  16
        Debevoise & Plimpton, 919 Third Avenue, New York, New
  17
        York, commencing at 9:07 a.m. and ending at 6:00 p.m.,
 18
        on Tuesday, June 29, 2021, before Bridget Lombardozzi,
  19
  20
       CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR, and Notary Public of the States of
       New York and New Jersey, pursuant to notice.
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
```

```
3
       A P P E A R A N C E S (Via Remote where indicated):
   1
   2
   3
       For the Plaintiff:
   4
   5
  6
             UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
   7
               NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE
             BY: JORGE G. TENREIRO, ESQUIRE
  8
  9
                    JON DANIELS, ESQUIRE
             New York Regional Office
10
 11
               200 Vesey Street
12
               Suite 400
              New York, New York 10281-1022
 13
              Telephone: 212.336.1060
14
               Email: tenreiroj@sec.gov
15
  16
                         jdaniels@sec.gov
 17
 18
  19
 20
  21
  22
  23
  24
  25
```

```
4
        APPEARANCES (Continued):
   1
   2
        For Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.:
   3
   4
   5
                DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
                BY: JENNIFER COWAN, ESQUIRE
  6
   7
                    ANNA GRESSEL, ESQUIRE
                     DANIEL JOINER, ESQUIRE (Remote)
  8
  9
                919 Third Avenue
                New York, New York 10022
10
 11
                Telephone: 212.909.6000
                E-Mail: jcowan@debevoise.com
12
 13
                         argressel@debevoise.com
14
                         dejoiner@debevoise.com.
 15
                            -and-
  16
 17
                KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK PLLC
 18
  19
                BY: ALEXANDER J. KING, ESQUIRE (Remote)
 20
                Sumner Square
  21
                1615 M Street, N.W.
  22
                Suite 400
  23
                Washington, D.C. 20036
  24
                Telephone: 202.326.7999
  25
                E-mail: aking@kellogghansen.com
```

```
5
        APPEARANCES (Continued)
   1
   2
        For Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse:
   3
   4
   5
                CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
                BY: MATTHEW C. SOLOMON, ESQUIRE
  6
   7
                     NICOLE TATZ, ESQUIRE (Remote)
                     JORGE BONILLA LOPEZ, ESQUIRE (Remote)
  8
                2112 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
  9
                Washington, D.C. 20037
10
                Telephone: 202.974.1500
 11
12
                E-mail: Msolomon@cgsh.com
 13
                       ntatz@cgsh.com
 14
                         jbonillalopez@cgsh.com
 15
        For Defendant Christian A. Larsen:
  16
 17
                PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
 18
  19
                By: JUSTIN WARD, ESQUIRE
 20
                     CARLY M. LAGROTTERIA, ESQUIRE (Remote)
                1285 Avenue of the Americas
  21
  22
                New York, New York 10019-6064
  23
                Telephone: 212.373.2491
                E-mail: jward@paulweiss.com
  24
                         clagrotteria@paulweiss.com
  25
```

```
6
       APPEARANCES (Continued):
   1
   2
       For the Witness:
   3
   4
                KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP
   5
                BY: JUSTIN R. HORTON, ESQUIRE
  6
                    SEAN HECKER, ESQUIRE
   7
                350 Fifth Avenue
  8
  9
                Suite 7110
                New York, New York 10018
10
 11
                Telephone: 646.889.3906
12
                E:mail: shecker@kaplanhecker.com
 13
                         jhorton@kaplanhecker.com
14
 15
       ALSO PRESENT:
 16
 17
                DEBORAH McCRIMMON, Ripple
                MATT TURSI, Videographer
 18
                Shereck Video Service
 19
 20
                NICOLE FORBES, SEC
 21
                KYLE E. CHERMAK, Debevoise Law Clerk
 22
 23
 24
  25
```

Casse 11 200 cov 11088322 AATT-SSNN DDoocumeent 68866 144 FFiled 009/1139 1222 Pragge 88 off 1884

| | | | | 7 |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|----------|---|
| | | INDEX | | |
| | WITNESS | EXA | MINATION | |
| | PATRICK WAR | REN GRIFFIN | | |
| | BY MR. | TENREIRO | 16 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 3 | | EXHIBITS | | |
| 9 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
|) | Exhibit 2 | String of e-mails dated | 24 | |
| 1 | | December 21-22, 2020 | | |
| 2 | | RPLI_SEC 0336844-47 | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | Exhibit 4 | 7/19/13 E-mail from Griffin | 47 | |
| 5 | | to et als | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0088242-99 | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Exhibit 5 | 11/30/20 E-mail from Griffin | 59 | |
| 9 | | to with attachment | | |
|) | | RPLI_SEC 0012359-68 | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | Exhibit 6 | 11/29/13 E-mail from Griffin | 61 | |
| 3 | | to Rapoport with attachment | | |
| ı | | RPLI_SEC 0337666-73 | | |
| | | | | |

Casse 1: 200 ow 1108322 ATT-SIN | Doocumeent 6356 144 | Filed 009/1131/222 | Pagge 9 of 13674

| | | | | 8 |
|-----|------------------|------------------------------|------|---|
| 1 | 262 46 | EXHIBITS | | |
| 2 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| 3 | Exhibit 7 | 5/9/13 E-mail from Griffin | 29 | |
| 4 | | to with attachment | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0070352-85 | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Exhibit 8 | 10/9/13 Message from | 118 | |
| 8 | | Griffin to Birla | | |
| 9 | | RPLI_SEC 0331323 | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | Exhibit 9 | 10/25/13 E-mail from Griffin | 86 | |
| 12 | | to w/attachment | | |
| 13 | | NO BATES, 20 pages | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 1.5 | Exhibit 10 | 11/3/13 Message from | 106 | |
| 16 | | Griffin to Larsen | | |
| .7 | | LARSEN-SEC-LIT 00003489 | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | Exhibit 11 | 1/16/14 Messages from | 122 | |
| 20 | | Griffin to Larsen | | |
| 21 | | NO BATES, 2 pages | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | Exhibit 13 | 5/8/14 Message from Griffin | 132 | |
| 24 | | to Larsen, | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0425911 | | |
| | | | | |

Casse 1: 220-cov-1008332-AATF-SSN | Doocumeent 68806-144 | FFilled 009/1138/222 | Pragge 100 off 18874

| | | | | 9 |
|---|------------------|---------------------------|------|---|
| 1 | 200 52 | EXHIBITS | | |
| 5 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| 3 | Exhibit 18 | 11/30/15 E-mail from Long | 140 | |
| 1 | | to Griffin, Larsen | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0484565 | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Exhibit 20 | 1/12/16 Check-In from | 168 | |
| 3 | | Griffin to | | |
| 9 | | NO BATES, 1 page | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | Exhibit 21 | String of e-mails dated | 227 | |
| 2 | | April 10, 2016 | | |
| 3 | | RPLI_SEC 0205600-02 | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Exhibit 22 | String of e-mails dated | 232 | |
| 6 | | April 10-11, 2016 | | |
| 7 | | RPLI_SEC 0307779-82 | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | Exhibit 24 | String of e-mails dated | 173 | |
| 0 | | April 14-16, 2016 | | |
| 1 | | RPLI_SEC 0038156-57 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | Exhibit 27 | String of e-mails dated | 182 | |
| 4 | | June 4-9, 2016 | | |
| 5 | | NO BATES, 3 pages | | |
| | | | | |

Casse 1: 220-cov-1008332-AATF-SSN | Doocumeenti 63506-144 | FFilled 005/1137/222 | Pragge 111 off 13584

| 1/2 | | | | |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|------|----|
| | | | | 10 |
| 1 | 222 22 | EXHIBITS | | |
| 2 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| 3 | Exhibit 28 | String of e-mails dated | 244 | |
| 4 | | June 14-16, 2016 | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0348791-92 | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Exhibit 29 | String of e-mails dated | 244 | |
| 8 | | June 14, 2016 | | |
| 9 | | RPLI_SEC 0372426-27 | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | Exhibit 31 | 7/21/16 E-mail from Griffin | 250 | |
| 2 | | to | | |
| .3 | | SEC-RIPPLE 0009285 | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | Exhibit 38 | String of e-mails dated | 329 | |
| 6 | | September 13, 2016 | | |
| 7 | | RPLI_SEC 0378113-15 | | |
| .8 | | | | |
| 9 | Exhibit 39 | 12/7/17 E-mail from | 311 | |
| 0 | | to Birla with attachment | | |
| 1 | | RPLI_SEC 0376173-76 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 23 | Exhibit 40 | String of e-mails dated | 241 | |
| 4 | | August 5-6, 2016 | | |
| 5 | | GSR00014722-24 | | |
| | | | | |

Casse 1: 200-cov-1008332-AATF-SNN | Doocumeenti 63506-144 | FFilled 005/1137/222 | Pragge 112 off 13574

| | | | | 11 |
|----|------------------|-------------------------|------|----|
| 1 | | EXHIBITS | | |
| 2 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| 3 | Exhibit 42 | String of e-mails dated | 254 | |
| 4 | | August 16, 2016 | | |
| 5 | | RPLI_SEC 0057039-40 | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Exhibit 44 | String of e-mails dated | 279 | |
| 8 | | January/February 2018 | | |
| 9 | | RPLI_SEC 0375653-54 | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 1 | Exhibit 46 | 5/2/17 E-mail from | 299 | |
| 2 | | to et als | | |
| .3 | | RPLI_SEC 0504481-82 | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| .5 | Exhibit 49 | Twitter Tweets December | 283 | |
| 6 | | 16, 2017 | | |
| 7 | | NO BATES, 5 pages | | |
| .8 | | | | |
| 9 | Exhibit 50 | String of e-mails dated | 287 | |
| 0 | | December 14-15, 2017 | | |
| 1 | | RPLI_SEC 0509224-27 | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 23 | Exhibit 56 | String of e-mails dated | 306 | |
| 4 | | October/November 2016 | | |
| 5 | | GSR00001264-67 | | |
| | | | | |

Casse 1: 200 cov 1008322 AATF-SNN | Door unternt 68806-144 | FFilled 009/1138/222 | Pragge 113 off 1884

| | | | | 12 |
|---|------------|-----------------------------|------|----|
| | SEC-PG | EXHIBITS | | |
| | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| | Exhibit 60 | String of e-mails dated | 238 | |
| | | November 1, 2016 | | |
| | | GSR00005000-01 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Exhibit 65 | String of e-mails dated | 272 | |
| | | March/April 2017 | | |
| 9 | | RPLI_SEC 0509599-601 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Exhibit 67 | 3/28/17 E-mail from Griffin | 161 | |
| | | to w/attachment | | |
| 3 | | RPLI_SEC 0156968-85 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Exhibit 70 | String of e-mails dated | 324 | |
| | | April 8, 2017 | | |
| | | RPLI_SEC 0031476-77 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Exhibit 72 | String of e-mails dated | 314 | |
| | | April 8, 2017 | | |
| | | RPLI_SEC 0352285-87 | | |
| | | | | |
| | Exhibit 76 | String of e-mails dated | 185 | |
| | | April 2017 | | |
| | | RPLI SEC 0843640-42 | | |

Casse 11:220-cov-1008332-AVT-SSNV | Doorcumeentt68356-144 | FFileedt006/1137/232 | Pragge 1144-off 13874

| 1 | | | | 13 |
|----|------------------|-------------------------|------|----|
| 1 | | EXHIBITS | | |
| 2 | SEC-PG NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | Exhibit 79 | String of e-mails dated | 333 | |
| 5 | | November/December 2017 | | |
| 6 | | RPLI_SEC 0376309-10 | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | Exhibit 80 | String of e-mails dated | 302 | |
| 9 | | May 1-2, 2017 | | |
| .0 | | RPLI_SEC 0762228-29 | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 12 | Exhibit 87 | String of e-mails dated | 293 | |
| .3 | | November 1, 2017 | | |
| .4 | | RPLI_SEC 0539698-99 | | |
| .5 | | | | |
| 6 | Exhibit 89 | String of e-mails dated | 209 | |
| .7 | | November 16, 2017 | | |
| 18 | | RPLI_SEC 0395081-82 | | |
| .9 | | | | |
| 20 | Exhibit 95 | String of e-mails dated | 267 | |
| 21 | | April 2017 | | |
| 22 | | RPLI_SEC 0030278-80 | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |

```
14
                   DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
  1
  2
  3
      DIRECTION TO WITNESS NOT TO ANSWER
         Page Line
  4
  5
          - -none- -
6
  7
     STIPULATIONS
8
  9
        Page Line
         16 18
10
11
12
13
       QUESTIONS MARKED
14
         Page Line
15
         - -none- -
16
17
18
     REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS
 19
         Page Line
 20
         - -none- -
 21
 22
 23
 24
  25
```

| | | 15 |
|----|---|----|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | 9:07 a.m. | |
| 3 | June 29, 2021 | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on | |
| 6 | record and the time is approximately 9:07 | |
| 7 | a.m. in the Eastern time zone. | |
| 8 | Today's date is June 29th, 2021. | |
| 9 | This is the video deposition of Patrick | |
| 10 | Griffin in the matter of Securities and | |
| 11 | Exchange Commission versus Ripple Labs, | |
| 12 | Inc., et al., No. 10832, in the United | |
| 13 | States District Court for the Southern | |
| 14 | District of New York. | |
| 15 | My name is Matt Tursi, legal | |
| 16 | videographer with Gradillas Court | |
| 17 | Reporting. Today we're at the office of | |
| 18 | Debevoise & Plimpton located at 919 Third | |
| 19 | Avenue, New York, New York 10022. | |
| 20 | All appearances will be noted on | |
| 21 | the stenographic record. The court | |
| 22 | reporter is Bridget Lombardozzi with | |
| 23 | Gradillas Court Reporting. | |
| 24 | Will you please swear in the | |
| 25 | witness. | |
| | | |

```
16
                    PATRICK WARREN
             G R I F F I N, having been duly sworn,
   2
             was examined and testified as follows:
   3
   4
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
   5
                      THE REPORTER: Thank you.
                        DIRECT-EXAMINATION
   6
   7
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
                 Okay. Good morning, please state your
  8
       name for the record.
  9
           A. Patrick Griffin.
10
 11
            Q. Mr. Griffin, are you represented by
12
      counsel today?
13
            A.
                 Yes.
                And who is that?
 14
            Q.
 15
            A.
                Justin.
            Q.
16
                 Okay.
                      MR. TENREIRO: Shall we?
 17
 18
                      MS. COWAN: Yes. We're
  19
             designating the transcript and recording
 20
             of the deposition as confidential pursuant
             to the protective order, and per
  21
             stipulation, an objection by one attorney
  22
  23
             will be taken as an objection preserved
 24
             with respect to all parties.
                     MR. TENREIRO: Great.
  25
```

17 1 BY MR. TENREIRO: Mr. Griffin, my name is Jorge Tenreiro. 2 I'll be asking questions today on behalf of the 3 plaintiff, SEC, in this case. 4 Mr. Griffin, you gave testimony to the 5 6 SEC in this matter in February of 2020, is that 7 correct? A. Yes. 8 Okay. And did you provide truthful 9 10 testimony to the SEC on that occasion? 11 A. Yes. 12 Since that testimony, have you given any 13 under -- any other under oath testimony? 14 A. No. 15 Since you've done this before, I'll be brief on the rules. The most important thing is 16 that we try not to talk over each other and that 17 18 we wait for each other to complete -- to finish speaking before speaking to create a clear --19 20 clear record and that we give verbal answers rather than shakes or nods of the head. 21 Throughout the day, I -- you know, 22 23 unless something else is explained, you know, 24 please don't tell me the substance of any advice you got from lawyers at any time. 25

```
18
                 Is there any reason why you cannot
       testify truthfully or accurately today?
   2
   3
           A. No.
   4
           Q. Did you take steps to prepare for
       today's deposition?
   5
 6
         Α.
                Yes.
   7
                What steps did you take without
            Q.
       discussing the substance of conversation with
8
       lawyers?
  9
10
           A. We -- just that we met with lawyers.
11
            Q. And how many hours would you say
12
      approximately did you meet?
13
            A. Six approximately.
            Q. Was this virtually?
 14
 15
            A.
                 It was in person.
16
            Q.
                 In person.
                Did it involve reviewing documents?
 17
                 It did.
18
            A.
            Q. Okay. Who was present at the session?
  19
 20
            A.
                Everybody here, I believe.
                Okay. Except for us.
  21
            Q.
                 I think there -- I think there were a
  22
  23
       few other people, but from Debevoise. I'm sorry,
 24
       yes, the -- yes, okay.
            Q. Several lawyers who are here. You don't
  25
```

```
19
  1
       necessarily remember exactly who was there --
  2
           A. Correct.
  3
           Q.
                -- correct?
           A. Correct, yes.
  4
                MS. COWAN: For clarity of the
  5
 6
           record, counsel for the individual
  7
           defendants were not present.
                    MR. TENREIRO: Right. Thank you.
8
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
9
10
        Q. And, Mr. Griffin, where do you live
11
       today?
           A. I live in California.
12
         Q. Okay. Are you a citizen of the United
13
       States?
 14
15
          A. Yes.
           Q. Okay. And are you employed?
16
           A. No, not currently.
17
18
          Q. When was the last time you were
 19
      employed?
 20
           A. With Ripple, 2018.
 21
           Q. And how was it that you came to work for
       Ripple?
 22
 23
           A. Through an introduction to Chris Larsen.
           Q. By whom?
 24
           A. The introduction was through my brother.
  25
```

```
21
                 I was a senior executive, yes.
           Q.
                You were a senior executive?
   2
   3
            A. Yes.
           Q. Did that change at any time while you
  4
       were employed?
   5
  6
           A. No.
   7
            Q. Why did you leave Ripple?
            A. I left Ripple -- lots -- changes and I'd
 8
  9
       been there for some time and I think it was an
10
       appropriate time to leave.
11
            Q. Would you say you're still on friendly
12
       terms with the company?
           A. Yes.
13
           Q. And with Mr. Larsen?
 14
 15
           A.
                Yes.
            Q. And with Mr. Garlinghouse?
16
 17
           A.
                Yes.
                 When you left -- do you have any XRP
18
           0.
       today?
  19
  20
           Α.
                No.
           Q. Have you ever owned any XRP?
  21
  22
           Α.
                Yes.
  23
            Q. How much -- how many units of XRP did
  24
       you own at the -- when you owned most?
            A. I -- I don't know the exact number.
  25
```

```
22
                 And it sounds like -- have you ever sold
1
2
       any XRP?
3
            A. Yes.
4
                 So did you sell all the XRP you owned?
5
                 Yes. There may be some -- a little bit
6
       left, but for the most part, yes.
7
         Q. How did you sell it?
            A. I sold it through an exchange and
8
9
       through a broker.
            0.
                 What exchange?
10
 11
            A. Bitstamp.
            Q. What broker?
 12
 13
            A.
                 Through a broker called GSR.
 14
            Q. Who did you sell it to?
 15
            A.
                I don't know.
 16
                 How much did you sell it for?
            Q.
                Different prices.
 17
            A.
            Q. How much did you sell it for?
 18
                 I don't -- I don't know.
 19
 20
                Okay. I'll represent to you that in
       your testimony, and we can look at it if you want,
 21
 22
       you had said you had sold approximately
 23
                 Does that -- that number sound -- does
 24
 25
       that number sound ballpark accurate?
```

```
24
            A.
                 No.
                      MR. TENREIRO: Can we take a look
   2
   3
             at Exhibit 2, please?
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
   4
             and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-2 for
   5
              identification.)
   6
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
   7
             Q. So I'm going to show you what's been
 8
        previously marked as PG-2.
  9
10
                      MR. TENREIRO: There's four.
11
             That will be one extra for Sean. And
12
             Bridget will get e-mailed copies.
            Q. Bates stamped RPLI SEC 336844.
13
 14
                     MR. TENREIRO: Sorry, we're one
  15
             short on this side.
             Q. This is a multipage e-mail. Most of it
  16
  17
        is e-mails from Mr. Garlinghouse. I'm going to
        ask you just to focus on the top part, but you can
  18
        read whatever you need for context.
  19
  20
                  I'm focused on an e-mail from you to
  21
       Mr. Garlinghouse and Mr. Larsen on December 22nd,
        2020, "Re: The SEC's attack on crypto in the
  22
  23
        United States."
  24
           A. Uh-huh.
                 Did you send that e-mail to them?
  25
            Q.
```

```
25
                  MR. HORTON: Counsel, can we have
  2
            a minute to --
                    MR. TENREIRO: Oh, sorry. Sorry.
  3
                    (Pause)
  4
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
  5
  6
         Q. The question is, Mr. Griffin, did you
       receive the e-mails from Mr. Garlinghouse?
  7
          A. I received the e-mail December 21st.
 8
          Q. Did you respond with what is shown on
  9
10
       this exhibit?
 11
          A. Yes.
12
           Q. Why did you respond with what is shown
13
       on this exhibit?
                  MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 14
 15
                  You can answer.
                  THE WITNESS: Right.
16
 17
      A. I responded simply to offer unsolicited
      opinions.
18
 19
           Q. When you say "I'm rooting for you," what
 20
      do you mean by that?
          A. For a positive outcome and a quick
 21
       resolution.
 22
 23
          Q. To the SEC litigation?
          A. Yes.
 24
          Q. Okay. Is that still true today, you're
 25
```

```
26
  1
     still rooting for them?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  2
  3
                     You can answer.
                 I'm -- I'm hoping for a resolution.
 4
           A.
  5
                Okay. At the part -- at the top of the
 6
       e-mail you say "Eth is an ICO. They started by
       selling tokens to raise money."
 7
                Do you see that?
 8
         A.
                I do.
 9
         Q. All right. Didn't Ripple sell XRP to
10
11
       raise money for its business?
12
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
13
                     THE REPORTER: Repeat.
                Didn't Ripple sell tokens, XRP, to raise
14
 15
       money for its business?
                     MR HORTON: Objection to form.
16
                     MS. COWAN: Would you be able to
17
            just slow down a little? I'm -- I'm
18
 19
            having trouble --
20
                     MR. TENREIRO: Sorry.
 21
                     MS. COWAN: -- catching
            everything and I'm sitting across the
 22
 23
            table from you.
                   MR. TENREIRO: I'll try.
 24
                     MS. COWAN: Thank you. I
 25
```

27 appreciate it. MR. HORTON: Assuming my 2 objection is noted, you can answer the 3 question. 4 A. Okay. Well, I think the premise here is 5 6 that Ethereum started by raising -- by selling tokens before they launched the technology. And 7 8 to initially fund and seed their operation, they had sold tokens. I don't remember what the time 9 period was. It might have been 2013 or '14. I 10 11 don't know -- I think the -- what the period 12 Ethereum launched. And so I'm pointing out that 13 they raised money outside of having any technology by selling the token. 14 Conversely, Ripple started out by 15 raising venture capital money against the equity 16 17 structures or the traditional venture type --18 THE REPORTER: Repeat the last 19 part. 20 Ripple raised money by selling -- by securing venture capital funding. 21 My question is, did Ripple sell XRP to 22 23 fund -- to raise money? 24 A. Ripple sold XRP. MS. COWAN: Objection. 25

28 Q. Did Ripple use the money it obtained from selling XRP in part to fund its business? 2 3 A. Yes. Q. Okay. You next say "I have the Skype 4 history with Vitalik promoting and managing the 5 initial sale." 6 7 Do you see that? A. I do. 8 Why do you have that Skype history? 9 Q. 10 A. There was a Skype forum. I can't 11 remember what the name of it was. Better Bitcoin 12 Business Alliance or something along those lines, 13 where he was on there talking about a token offering and selling that. And I was in that 14 forum. 15 Q. Do you still have that Skype? 16 A. You know, I -- I looked for it. I 17 didn't -- I couldn't pull the history. I think 18 that it's probably there, but I don't -- I wasn't 19 20 able to get it. 21 Q. Okay. MR. TENREIRO: Let's take a look 22 23 at Exhibit -- let's do 7, please, Jon. 24 0. While -- while we get the exhibit,

Mr. Griffin, when you started at Ripple back in

25

```
29
   1
       2013, generally speaking, what were your
       responsibilities?
   2
                 My responsibilities were largely
   3
            A.
       business development focused.
  4
           Q. "Business development" means what?
   5
6
                 Securing partnerships and helping to
  7
       define the -- the business plan.
                 (Whereupon, exhibit is presented and
  8
       marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-7 for
  9
        identification.)
 10
 11
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 12
            Q. Okay. Now please take a look at Exhibit
 13
       Number 7.
                 So this is a document which is a
 14
       one-page e-mail, RPLI SEC 70352, and attachments.
 15
            A. Okay.
16
                 So focus on the e-mail, Mr. Griffin.
 17
       I -- I presume you don't remember sending an
 18
        e-mail in May 2013, but I -- I ask you if you have
  19
 20
       any reason to doubt that you sent this e-mail.
  21
            A.
                 I do not, no.
                      MS. COWAN: Do you need time to
  22
  23
             look at the document?
 24
                      THE WITNESS: Yes.
                      (Pause)
  25
```

```
30
           A. Okav.
1
  2
           Q. Okay. After having browsed through it,
       any reason to doubt that you sent this e-mail in
3
4
       this document?
5
           A. No.
           Q. Who are
6
                                            -- I'm
       sorry, who's
7
                I -- I recall
8
                                    was a third-party
9
       PR agent. I think he -- that's what his -- his
       job was.
10
 11
           Q. Why was -- sorry.
           A. Go ahead.
 12
 13
         Q. Yeah. Why were you sending it to a PR
14
       agent?
 15
         A. He -- he worked -- he was a contractor.
       He worked for Ripple.
 16
 17
          Q. I see. This document appears to be
       entitled "Ripple for Gateway" based on the
 18
       attachment name.
 19
 20
           A. Okay.
           Q. Do you see that?
 22
           A. Yes.
23
           Q.
                What -- what was the purpose of this
       document?
 24
           A. Okay. Well, first and foremost, I -- I
 25
```

- can't remember from May 2013 exactly what -- these documents went through so -- hundreds of iterations, if not thousands, so I can't remember exactly what the purpose of this document was.
 - Q. When you say "these documents," though, what are you talking about?
 - A. Documents that explain what it is that

 Ripple means for different participants in -- in a

 -- in the exchange -- ecosystem that was being built.
 - Q. So is it fair to say that in 2013, it's a doc -- document that explained what Ripple means for potential participants in the ecosystem?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you said the documents went through iterations.
- Were you involved in the preparation of these iterations in any way?
- A. Sometimes, yes; sometimes, no.

 Sometimes they were given to me from market -- as marketing collateral. And sometimes I was involved in the creation of it and sometimes it was the marketing team that would work on that and I would work off of it.
 - Q. Okay. So if it came to you from the

```
32
        marketing team, for example, you might make edits
   1
        to it?
   2
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
   3
                 Yes.
   4
            A.
                 Okay. And did you distribute these
   5
            Q.
        sorts of documents outside of Ripple?
   6
   7
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
           A.
                 Yes.
  8
  9
           Q.
                 Why?
10
                 Just going back to my earlier answer,
11
        just to -- to explain what the technology was and
12
       what it meant to different participants in our
       ecosystem around the technology.
13
            Q. And if you -- you know, how -- how many
  14
  15
       different third parties outside of Ripple did you
        send these sorts of documents to?
16
            A.
  17
                 I don't know.
                 Would it be more than ten?
18
           Q.
  19
            A.
                 Yes.
  20
           Q.
                 Okay. Would it be more than 100?
  21
            A.
                 Yes.
  22
            Q.
                 Yes. Okay.
  23
                 As far as you knew, when you were
  24
        sending these documents outside of Ripple, were
        there con -- were the contents of these documents
  25
```

```
33
      truthful?
 1
                     MS. COWAN: Objection.
 2
                I just want to make sure we're putting
 3
 4
      some parameters around "these documents."
                What do you mean -- as far as -- this is
 5
      a document for gateways.
 6
 7
                Uh-huh.
           Q.
               So when I look at that, this is a
 8
      document for endpoints that would provide onboard
9
10
      and offboard access to the network. Dozens, maybe
      hundred of times we sent that out.
11
12
          Q. Okay.
13
           A. I just want to make sure I'm -- I'm
      tracking where --
14
15
           0.
                That's -- that's fair.
                So just focusing on those documents that
16
17
      you might have sent out that were explaining to,
18
      you know, endpoints/gateways as you describe them
19
      on the network --
20
           A. Uh-huh.
               -- to the extent you -- you sent out
21
      documents to them explaining the technology, were
22
23
      the contents of the documents truthful --
24
                    MR. HORTON: Objection.
          Q. -- as far as you knew?
25
```

34 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. As far as I know. 2 A. 3 Q. Have you come to learn of anything since then that might not have been truthful? 4 A. 5 No. MR. HORTON: Objection. 6 7 Now, other than gateways, is it fair to say -- and we can look at them in a minute -- but 8 is it fair to say that there were other types of 9 10 potential participants in the ecosystem to whom 11 you sent these doc -- to whom you sent documents 12 around 2013 explaining, you know, the ecosystem or 13 Ripple's ideas around the technology? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 14 15 A. Yes. And what were those other types? So we 16 17 talked about gateways, you know, you know, people 18 provide on -- you know, on and off ramps, 19 essentially. What were the other types of, you 20 know, generally groups of persons? MR. HORTON: Objection. 21 Objection to form. 22 23 A. Well, we would have sent collateral 24 explaining what Ripple is to a few people. We would have a document similar to this for 25

```
35
   1
        investors, for example, in Ripple Inc. Or I think
        at the time, it was OpenCoin. Yeah, OpenCoin. So
   2
   3
        that would have been one group.
                 We had a document for -- we would have
   4
        sent this out also to partners who maybe could
   5
       utilize the network. Businesses really.
   6
   7
                 So I think payment networks, as it says
        in the e-mail, who we'd been working with to
  8
        identify businesses that could benefit from the --
  9
10
        the technology and what the technology offered.
11
                 And that was the -- most of the focus.
12
            Q. All right. Okay. If you'd take a look,
13
        please, at page 19 of the deck. The Bates is
  14
        RPLI SEC --
  15
            A. Sorry, which slide?
                 19. And the Bates is 70371.
16
  17
            A.
                 Okay.
                 It says "The Business Model Adoption of
18
  19
       the Ripple Currency."
  20
                 Do you see that?
                 I do.
  21
            A.
                 Is that an accurate representation of
  22
  23
        OpenCoin's business model at the time --
  24
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
            Q. -- in May of 2013.
  25
```

- A. Well, I -- I think -- the terminology of "business model" is, I think, loosely applied here. But Ripple certainly stood to gain from the utilization of the XRP by way of the XRP that it held on balance sheet. But I think that in 2013, we had the idea of building a business around selling software --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. -- and software-related services.
- Q. Okay. Is that reflected on this page?

 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
- A. I don't -- I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Where it says "OpenCoin will sell to wholesale MSBs over time to funds itself," do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What does MSBs mean?
 - A. Money services business.
 - Q. Okay. And at the time that you sent this document out to a, you know, PR contractor, was it an accurate statement of OpenCoin's plan to sell XRP wholesale MSB over time to fund itself?

 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 - A. It could have been.
 - Q. Why were -- do you see a graph that

```
37
       compares the price of bitcoin to U.S. dollars?
1
2
         A. I do.
           Q. And the little comment bubble discusses
3
4
       the price of bitcoin, how it started trading below
5
       5 cents and then spiked to $30.
6
                Do you see that?
7
                Uh-huh.
           A.
8
           Q. What was the purpose of including that
9
       graph there?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
10
 11
          A. I can't -- I can't venture a guess as to
 12
       what we were thinking in 2013.
         Q. Sitting here today, you just don't know
 13
 14
       what the purpose was?
         A. No.
 15
           Q. All right. Turn to page 30, please, of
 16
       the slide. The Bates is 70382. The title is
 17
       "OpenCoin Inc. Regulatory and Legal."
 18
                Do you see that?
 19
 20
           A. I do.
         Q. Do you recall that
                                              had
 22
       advised OpenCoin as to certain regulatory and
23
       legal issues --
                     MR. HORTON: Objection --
 24
 25
           Q. -- surrounding its business back in
```

```
38
       2012, 2013?
  1
                     THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, repeat
  2
  3
             the question, please.
  4
                     MR. HORTON: I thought you were
            at the end of your question.
  5
  6
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
  7
           Q. Do you recall that Perkins Coie had
       advised OpenCoin Inc. about certain regulatory and
  8
       legal issues that might arise relating to its
  9
       business back in 2012 and 2013?
 10
 11
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 12
          A. Yes.
 13
           Q. Okay. Is this what that's referring to
       on this page?
 14
                     MR. HORTON: Same objection.
 15
                I don't know.
16
           A.
 17
            Q. Okay. And you were -- is it fair to say
       you were familiar with the substance of the
18
 19
       advice?
 20
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
 21
            lack of foundation.
 22
          A. Yes.
 23
           Q. Okay. Have you read the Perkins Coie
 24
       memos?
           A. I -- I have read them, but it's a long
 25
```

```
39
       memo with -- that covers a lot of -- of different
   1
       territory.
   2
            Q. You're not a lawyer, right?
   3
            Α.
                 No.
  4
                 In terms of your educational background,
   5
  6
       which I skipped, could you just briefly tell me
  7
       what it was?
            A. I attended University of Pennsylvania
8
       with a degree in liberal arts.
  9
10
                      THE REPORTER: Degree in?
11
           A.
                 Liberal arts. History.
12
            Q. And that's your entire educational
13
       background?
 14
        A. Yes.
 15
            Q. Okay. The next page says, if you --
       please turn to the next page where it says "Key
16
       Regulatory Issues and Tactics."
 17
 18
                 The second bullet says "Although
  19
       currencies are specifically exempt from securities
 20
       law, virtual currencies such as bitcoin have not
  21
       received designation as currencies. As such,"
       this new -- "As such, is this new currency a
  22
  23
       security?"
 24
                 And then there's three, I guess, bullet
       points that say "Will not be sold to users or
  25
```

```
40
   1
        investors in the Corporation; Will be given away
        for free to users; Will only sell to licensed
   2
        wholesale MSBs."
   3
                 Do you see all of that?
   4
           A. Yes.
   5
 6
            Q. Why was -- why were these statements
  7
        made in this presentation under "Key Regulatory
        Issues and Tactics"?
8
 9
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
            lack of foundation.
10
11
            A. Oh, and by the way, I had a second
12
        degree in Asian studies --
13
            Q. Okay.
            A. -- from the University of Pennsylvania.
14
 15
        So there you go.
                 I -- I think the first thing to point
16
       out on this is that the -- this document really
 17
       ends at Slide 26.
18
  19
            Q. Uh-huh.
 20
            A. And -- okay. Going back into 2013, I
  21
        don't -- I think that there's even page of this
        when I was browsing that lists who was in the
  22
  23
        company at that point. I think there was, like,
  24
       six people at the company.
  25
                 So there's not a huge amount of
```

resources and energy that is available to maintain these documents and keep them up to speed. And that's just kind of the nature of early stage start-ups.

And so the first thing I would point out is that this is in -- in deep, buried in an appendix at the end of the presentation. And that might have been carried other from previous presentations and previous presentations before that. And through all the permutations, it may have still been in there.

So I think it's important to sort of call that out being there's only so many resources that you have when you're a team of whatever we were, six or so. And -- yeah, I think it's important context.

O. Sure.

Is it fair to say that at some point, there was a belief or an understanding that to deal with securities laws risks, three ways to mitigate those risks were to not sell to users or investors in the corporation, to give away free to users, and to only sell to licensed wholesale MSBs? Even if that was not necessarily the understanding at this point in May 2013, I hear

```
42
   1
        your point that, you know, things changed, there's
        six of you, but is it fair to say that at some
   2
   3
        point, that was an understanding?
   4
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                      MS. COWAN: Objection.
   5
 6
                  I don't -- I don't know. I don't know
  7
        what was going on in the discussions in the
        company in 2013. I can't remember what was top of
8
       mind.
  9
10
            Q.
                 Okay.
 11
                 As I -- again, going back to that
            A.
12
        document, that document covers a lot of ground.
  13
        This is one of the many recommendations and
  14
        suggestions that I recall that document speaking
  15
       to.
            Q. And who prepared this document, the
  16
  17
        presentation we're looking at?
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 18
                  I don't know.
  19
            A.
  20
            Q.
                  If you had prepared it, would you
  21
        have -- if you had prepared it, would you have
        written the regulatory parts on your own or would
  22
  23
        you have asked someone? You know, what was your
  24
        practice back then?
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  25
```

44 1 services businesses? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 2 No, it didn't -- you know, I want to --3 no is the answer. I would also want to point out 4 one other thing here. 5 6 O. Yes. 7 2013, a little bit of time machine. A. Ripple I believe at that time was the only effort 8 or project in the sort of blockchain category 9 outside of bitcoin. And I think there were 10 several derivatives of bitcoin, but I -- I think 11 12 there were only a handful, like five other 13 projects that were happening. And the other ones 14 were all based on the bitcoin system and Ripple 15 was really entirely new. 16 So there were a lot of questions about 17 regulations and there was a lot of uncertainty at 18 the time. And it was a common discussion point 19 with anybody that we talked to. It -- it came up. 20 What -- what's the regulatory status here and 21 what's going on? I know there was a lot of headline risk or questions around bitcoin at 22 23 that -- in 2013 and 2012 period. 24 So I think, you know, there were -- what 25 to focus on and where to focus on, it was just

very uncertain and ill-defined as to where the questions really needed to be asked around regulations.

So, I mean, when I look at this, I'm not -- I just remember there being -- that being a period of a lot of uncertainty around what cryptocurrencies are and what they -- what they mean and -- and how regulators view them. And, again, particularly in the context of the headline risk associated with bitcoin, we were trying to go and talk to real businesses, in particular money service businesses, who had questions, who are regulated businesses themselves and needed to have some understanding of what this was and questions to ask and how to look at it.

So I think even the -- the memo that was provided by Perkins Coie or the advice that we got, I -- I don't think they really clearly had a full understanding of it as well. It felt like it was a stake in the ground to sort of start the process of understanding what -- what the questions were.

Q. So just to unpack that a little bit, you said it was a common discussion point, the regulatory issues or uncertainty with people you

```
46
   1
       spoke to?
                 It -- it came up, yes. It definitely
   2
   3
       came up.
           Q.
                 Would you say frequently?
   4
   5
           A.
                 It came up.
 6
            Q. Was Mr. Larsen present at meetings when
  7
       that came up?
                     MR. WARD: Object to the form.
8
                 It's possible. I don't remember.
  9
          A.
10
          Q.
                 But it came up to you? People asked
11
       you?
12
           A. Yes.
13
           Q. Okay. And what steps did Ripple take to
       provide answers about the regulatory uncertainty
 14
15
       that was being raised?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
16
       A. I can't speak to what Ripple did or
17
       didn't do.
18
  19
            Q. But, you know, what steps did you take?
 20
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  21
                 I think what I -- what I tried to speak
       to -- I mean, first, I -- I think documents like
  22
  23
       this we spoke to -- sort of caveat emptor was
 24
       there's some uncertainty here and this is as best
       we -- we understand how this space is -- is
  25
```

```
47
   1
       moving, the direction this space is moving from a
       regulatory perspective.
   2
            Q. What steps, if any, did you observe
  3
       others at Ripple taking to provide answers on the
  4
       regulatory uncertainty questions back in 2013?
   5
  6
                   MS. COWAN: Objection.
   7
            A. I -- I can't remember.
            Q. Okay. What steps did -- if any, did
8
        anyone at Ripple direct you to take in order to
  9
10
       provide answers or to find answers?
11
                      MS. COWAN: Objection.
12
            A.
                 I -- I can't remember.
13
           Q.
                 Okay.
                      MR. TENREIRO: So let's look at
 14
            No. 4 for a second.
 15
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
16
            and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-4 for
 17
             identification.)
18
 19
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 20
           Q. And while you look at it, I'll just say
  21
       for the record it's a one-page e-mail, also with
       attachments. It starts at Bates 88242.
  22
 23
                 And I just want to -- I just want to
 24
       note for the record that I attached one of the two
  25
       attachments, although it has -- the e-mail has
```

```
48
1
       two.
  2
                (Pause)
        Q. Okay, Mr. Griffin. This appears to be
3
4
       an e-mail from you to someone at
                                                  July
       19th, 2013.
5
6
                Any reason to believe you did not send
7
       the e-mail?
8
            A.
                 No.
9
            Q. Okay. The title of this presentation --
       well, the -- the name of the file is "Ripple for
10
       Gateways 7.13," but the first page actually says
 11
       "Financial Services."
 12
 13
                 Do you see that?
 14
                 I do.
            A.
                 I don't want to get into a comparison
 15
 16
       right now to Exhibit 7 page by page, but what I
       want to understand, and I think we talked about it
 17
       a little, is the difference between the gateways
 18
       and the financial services, financial services
 19
 20
       buckets that we were discussing earlier.
                                 one of those financial
 21
                 So is
 22
       services buckets? That's my question.
 23
                      MS. COWAN: Objection.
                      MR. HORTON: Objection.
 24
                 I don't know what
                                             is, how it
 25
            A.
```

- would be classified. The document is intended for the financial services business. And I think we would have classified them as _____ as -- as financial services business.
- Q. So financial -- just to be clear,
 financial services might be a business that might
 have some sort of use for the -- for the XRP
 ledger as opposed to being an on -- you know, an
 on or off ramp? Is that the difference?
- A. Yes.

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. And -- okay.
- So going to page 19 again where it says "The Business Model," this one is Bates RPLI_SEC 88287.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you see that?
- 19 A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. You can compare this page if you'd like, but, you know, again, it says "Ripple's business model is based on the success of its native currency. OpenCoin will keep between 25 to 30 percent of all currency created. OpenCoin will occasionally sell to wholesale MSBs

50 to fund itself." 1 Do you see all that? 2 A. Uh-huh. 3 Q. And the reference to currency is to XRP? 4 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 5 6 I believe it is, yes. 7 Q. Okay. And so, you know, Exhibit 7 was May of 2013. Exhibit 4 is in July. 8 Is it fair to say that a couple of 9 months later, in July of 2013, this was still an 10 11 accurate representation of Ripple's then-current 12 business model? 13 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I can't speak to that, to the business 14 15 model of Ripple. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that 16 17 you would have sent out a deck to a third party with inaccurate information? 18 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 19 20 A. No. Q. Okay. Now here -- and here, if you 21 want, you might compare page 19. Here there's a 22 23 graph comparing the price of XRP to the U.S. 24 dollar. On page 19 of Exhibit 7, it was the price of bitcoin to the U.S. dollar. 25

```
51
                 Why was the change made to the graph?
1
  2
            A. I don't --
3
                      MR. HORTON: Objection; lack of
4
             foundation.
5
                     You can answer.
6
            A. I don't know.
7
            Q. Okay. And do you know what the purpose
       of the graph was?
 8
9
                      MR. HORTON: Same objection.
10
            A. I don't know. Again, I'm going to sort
       of set context. This probably would have been the
 11
                                 had ever spoken to
 12
       very first time
       anybody about bitcoin, cryptocurrencies or
 13
 14
       blockchain, 2013 of July.
                 So I think they -- they probably had --
 15
       would have likely had questions about crypt --
 16
       what are cryptocurrencies? How do -- how do they
 17
       retain value? How do they work? They would have
 18
 19
       also had questions about the regulatory
 20
       considerations. And so I think that this deck is
       more of an attempt at -- as much as to introduce
 22
       the space as -- as it is to introduce our -- our
23
       company and what the technology was that we were
 24
       offering.
 25
            Q. Would they have -- would they have had
```

52 1 questions about how to make money with this technology? 2 3 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. Α. It's possible. 4 Q. Well, as a -- as the head of business 5 6 development for Ripple at the time, did people ask 7 you questions about how can I make money with this technology? 8 9 MR. HORTON: Objection. 10 They could have asked a -- a range of 11 questions. They could have also asked how do we 12 save money or how do we build new services? How 13 do we tap into new markets? How do we reduce 14 costs? I mean, there's -- there's a range of -- a 15 number of options, a number of ways that that 16 conversation can -- can take place. 17 Q. So there's a number of ways in which --18 there's a number of ways in which that conversation can take place, but the conversation 19 20 is how do we make money essentially? Is that what 21 you're saying? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 22 23 That's not what his testimony is. 24 A. No, that's not what I said.

Q. Okay. So then I'll have to ask you

```
again. Did people ask you, as the head of business development for Ripple at the time, how can I make money with this technology?
```

- A. They -- along with all the other things that I asked, it may have been one of the -- the topics of discussion, is how do I make money?

 But, again, I think that they would have been more focused on how do I improve my business? Because I think that that was the approach we were taking here.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I think that that's sort of laid out in the first -- in the e-mail. That basic story of is to make profits on transfer fees, support prepaid access, and remittance -
THE REPORTER: Could you please

THE REPORTER: Could you please slow down. Repeat.

A. -- accept payment from new markets at no cost.

So, I mean, there's -- there's a whole -- whole range of different sort of incentives for why they would want to think about this and -- yeah.

- Q. So -- so, in other words --
- A. Just pros and cons, right.

Q. Okay. So just so I understand, your pitch to as reflected in the front page of the e-mail is the different ways for you to make profits on transfer fees, support prepaid access? That's what you're setting out here?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. Again, it sounds like this is probably a very early meeting, so we're trying to feel them out. We're trying to understand where are their priorities, what are they thinking about? What are their -- what do they -- what did their boss tell them to figure out with new technology? And so we don't know that and so we're just trying to put some ideas out, like here, we think we can have a discussion around these different areas about how our technology can play into your priorities. We don't know what your priorities are yet, so here are some possible areas for discussion.
- Q. All right. Going back to the graph, though, on page 19, did third parties that you spoke to while you were the head of business development at Ripple around 2013 ask you about the potential for these digital assets, these new digital assets, to appreciate in value?

55 MR. HORTON: Objection. 1 2 Objection to form. A. So -- okay. So 2013, I think at that 3 4 point in time, it was very early and the 5 technology that Ripple was -- was in the market 6 talking about was an open-source technology. 7 And so I don't yet at that point -there were -- there had not been a full product 8 9 stack built on top of it. There wasn't really a very clearly defined service. I think we had sort 10 of the idea that we could build a business model 11 like Linux and Red Hat and that kind of 12 13 relationship where there's an open-source model --14 a business that you can -- you can service. And I think there -- there were 15 questions about the digital asset. It's possible. 16 And to be -- to be honest, what I remember in a 17 conversation with a company like 18 19 they didn't really want to spend too much time 20 talking about the cryptocurrency. They wanted to understand the technology underneath it because, 22 again, in 2013, to get in the door, you had to 23 sort of understand how to talk about bitcoin and 24 the risks associated with bitcoin in the headlines

in order for a substantial company like

to -- to really be willing to have a conversation.

Q. And so, again, in the context of these conversations in 2013 where you're trying to figure out, you know, what did their boss ask them for and what are their questions, what was the purpose of the graph showing the price of XRP to U.S. dollars?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form; lack of foundation.

- A. I think just to show that there -- that there's value in cryptocurrency. And -- and, again, I would say this -- this is a slide that very well could have just been skipped over where we were in the meeting where may have said let's keep going. This is not what we're here to -- we're here to talk about. Again, going back to the -- the slide here, the opening e-mail, there's really no reference to cryptocurrencies at all if you can --
- Q. But there's no -- you have no reason to doubt this slide was, in fact, attached to the e-mail, right?
 - A. It -- yes.
 - Q. Thank you.

The next slide, please, on Slide 20, it

```
57
       talks about "OpenCoin is a for-profit corporation,
  1
       holding all of the XRP."
  2
               Do you see that?
  3
           A. Okay, yes.
  4
                 The part that "OpenCoin is a for-profit
  5
            Q.
  6
       corporation, " was that true back then?
  7
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
           A. I don't -- I don't remember what the
  8
       legal registration of the open -- of OpenCoin was
  9
10
       at the time.
 11
            Q. Was OpenCoin a business trying to make
12
       money back in 2013?
 13
                   MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
           A. Was it a -- I'm sorry, could you repeat
 14
 15
      that?
 16
            Q. To try and make money.
                     MR. HORTON: Objection.
 17
                 Yes.
 18
            A.
            Q. Did that change at any time before --
 19
 20
       between 2013 and when you left?
           A. No.
 21
            Q. Okay. And just to be clear for the
 22
 23
       record, OpenCoin was Ripple back in 2013, right?
 24
           A. Yes.
            Q. Okay. In 2013, did OpenCoin hold all of
 25
```

```
58
        the XRP?
   1
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
   2
             lack of foundation.
  3
            A.
                 I don't believe so, no.
  4
            Q.
                 Why not?
   5
 6
                      MR. HORTON: Objection.
                 I don't -- I don't know why not. I
  7
       think it was -- there was XRP out in the market.
8
  9
            Q. By 2013, there was XRP out in the
10
       market?
11
            A.
                 Yes. And I know the -- the origin of
12
       the company -- or of the technology, the founders
 13
       had already taken some as well. So I don't -- so
       Ripple Inc. did not -- or OpenCoin here did not
 14
 15
       have all of the XRP, no.
            Q. Some of it was in the market, some -- as
 16
        far as you recall, some of it was in the market,
 17
        some of it was with some founders?
 18
  19
          A. Yes.
 20
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  21
            Q.
                 Why doesn't this slide distinguish
       between the founders and OpenCoin?
 22
  23
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
 24
            lack of foundation.
                 I -- I don't know.
  25
            A.
```

```
59
           Q. All right. Let's move on to Exhibit 5.
1
2
               (Whereupon, exhibit is presented and
3
       marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-5 for
4
       identification.)
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
5
6
       Q. While we're pulling that, do you
7
       remember someone by the name of
8
           A. Yes.
9
           Q. Who is that?
           A. Economists at
                                       A Board member
10
       of Ripple -- at Ripple.
 11
           Q. How did you come to know her?
 12
         A. I believe it was through Chris Larsen's
 13
14
       connection with
                              He ran into her at
       conference or knew her and then made some
 15
       introductions to us.
 16
          Q. Okay. Go ahead and take a look at the
 17
       exhibit, please.
18
                     MR. TENREIRO: For the record,
 19
 20
            this is a one-page e-mail with an
            attachment from Mr. Griffin to
 22
            November 30th, 2013, RPLI SEC 12359.
23
                    (Pause)
 24
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 25
            Q. Mr. Griffin, did you have a conversation
```

```
60
       with Ms. about the subjects discussed in the
1
2
       attachment to this e-mail on or around November
       30th, 2013?
  3
4
                 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
         A. I don't remember, but from reading the
5
6
       e-mail, it looks like I did.
7
           Q. Okay. And the title is "Distribution"
       -- the title of the slide is "Distribution
8
       Discussion Themes." The title of the attachment
9
       appears to be "XRP Distribution Framework
10
       PowerPoint Presentation." Is that correct?
 11
 12
           A. Yes.
 13
           Q. Did you --
 14
                    MS. COWAN: I don't think that's
 15
            what it says.
 16
                     THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.
                     MS. COWAN: Sorry. I don't
 17
            think that's what -- the subject is "XRP
 18
            Distribution Themes."
 19
                     MR. TENREIRO: The attachment
 20
            says "XRP Distribution Framework
 21
 22
            PowerPoint Presentation."
 23
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 24
           Q. Did you author these slides?
 25
           A. Did I -- excuse me?
```

```
61
           O. Author these slides?
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  2
                I don't know.
  3
           A.
  4
           0.
                Who is Phil Rapoport?
           A. Phil Rapoport reported to me. He worked
  5
 6
       on -- he worked at Ripple.
  7
           Q. Okay.
                     THE REPORTER: He worked on? I
 8
 9
           can't hear you?
                 THE WITNESS: He worked at
10
11
          Ripple.
12
          Q. All right. Any reason to believe that
13
      the themes discussed here were not accurate as --
      as -- as of the time of this presentation?
14
                   MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
15
                I -- I don't know. I don't have a
16
17
       reason to believe one way or the other.
18
          Q. Okay.
 19
                  MR. TENREIRO: Let's quickly take
 20
           a look at No. 6.
                   (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
 21
          and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-6 for
 22
           identification.)
 23
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 24
          Q. This is a November 29th e-mail from you
  25
```

```
62
       to Mr. Rapoport. See if this refreshes your
  1
2
       memory as to whether you drafted it or not.
                 (Pause)
  3
4
                 Still no. I don't know if I drafted it
       or not.
5
6
            Q. Okay. Do you see on Exhibit 6 where you
7
       say "Before I send this to
                                  let me know if
8
       anything is missing. She is working on an e-mail
 9
       for Chris and a broader distribution thesis and I
       want to recap our phone call."
10
 11
                 Did I read that accurately?
 12
            A.
                Yes.
          Q. Okay. So Exhibit 5, if we can turn to
 13
 14
       the slides, you say on page 2 of the slide, "Goal
       of Distribution" -- it says "Goal of Distribution:
 15
 16
       Network growth, Raise funds for Ripple Labs
 17
       operations."
 18
                 Do you see that?
 19
            A. Yes.
 20
            Q. Was that accurate, the goal of
 21
       distribution was to grow the network and to raise
       funds for Ripple Labs' operations?
 22
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 23
               I think the e-mail -- or what this
 24
 25
       document is doing is summarizing the conversation
```

we had with Susan.

- Q. So in the conversation with Susan, did someone at Ripple convey to her that the goal of the distribution was network growth and to raise funds for Ripple Labs' operations?
 - A. Well --

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

You can answer.

A. Okay. Going -- stepping back, I don't know what Susan -- involvement was at Ripple at this point. She may have been outside the company. I think she was. So I think she was just more interested in -- I think she was looking at all things bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. She's a -- a marketplace expert. I think that's her field of economics.

And I think she was offering her views on XRP, Ripple's strategy, and Ripple's holdings of XRP and how to build a marketplace around cryptocurrencies or really anything.

Q. Why --

A. So this would have been capturing a discussion that we had where we were effectively going to her to seek out her -- her expertise and her views. And this would have just basically

cryptocurrencies?

MR. HORTON: Same objection.

A. Well, again, I can't remember the substance of what we talked about with in 2013. I think there -- the technology that we were working on, there were different components to it and one of the big components was XRP. And so we wanted to -- and it plays a central role in the system, and Ripple Inc. or OpenCoin at the time had a large holding of it. And how we sold it or distributed it or whatever we did with it had an impact on how ultimately the technology would be adopted and the ecosystem built out.

So I think it's just one of the many things that we were worried about or trying to think through and we would have gone to her to get her ideas or opinions about how to build a healthy marketplace around something. And here we wanted to apply that to the cryptocurrency so we could think through what the different ideas for distribution of the XRP that Ripple Inc. or OpenCoin at the time had.

Q. When you said "It's just one of the many things that we were worried about or trying to think through and we had gone to her to get her

ideas or opinions," who's "we" in that sentence?

- A. Well, me, Phil, I think. I think it was something that we were all -- everybody at Ripple was conscious of and thinking about.
- Q. Was one of the things that everybody at Ripple was conscious of and thinking about was how to create a market for XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I wouldn't say how to create a market.

Just, you know, we -- again, I think we were thinking about how to get gateways on the system, how to connect financial players on the system. I mean, even down to the -- at that point, the distinction between technology and business was actually pretty -- pretty tightly coupled because there -- it was so new. And so I was even thinking and talking about, you know, how to -- how that cryptography system works and how to, you know, think through different cryptographic solutions, how they apply in business contexts.

We were thinking about, as I said, a lot of different aspects of this. And even with the new technology, there is -- an exchange was built within it, how the exchange works, how people come into the exchange.

So this would have been one of -- one of -- yeah, one of many things that we were thinking through and trying to understand.

- Q. "This" being what? Create -- how to --
- A. The XRP that we held and -- sorry. I'll let you finish the question.
- Q. No. The question is you said "this would have been one of the many things we were thinking through."

And so what is "this" in your answer?

- A. Ripple's holdings of XRP, or, again, I don't know if it was Ripple or OpenCoin at the time, but, yeah.
- Q. In 2013, did Ripple -- did you have conversations with others at Ripple about how to create a market in XRP? I understand you were discussing a lot of things, but was one of the things that you discussed with others at Ripple in 2013 how you could create a market for XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Again, I don't know that it was market.

You're using that -- you're saying "market." I

don't know if it was market. I think it was, you

know, how Ripple had a lot of -- had XRP and we

were trying to understand how we could sell it or

move it or distribute it in a way would have created to the value of the overall network and that would promote and help generate more adoption and help to grow the ecosystem around it.

Q. So one of the things you were discussing at Ripple in 2013 was trying to understand how you could sell, move, or distribute XRP to generate more adoption for Ripple's network?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. For all -- for the technology underpinning the XRP.
 - Q. And that would be the XRP ledger?
- A. Yeah, it's taken a lot of different names and I think the changes -- there have been changes to the name, but I think at the time it was the Ripple consensus ledger.
- Q. Right. All right. And is it fair to say that in 2013, Ripple had two goals for its -- sorry. Let me strike that and start again.

In the slide that we were looking at where it says "Goal of Distribution," is that distribution of XRP?

MR. HORTON: Jorge, which exhibit are you talking about?

MR. TENREIRO: Exhibit 5, page

69 RPLI SEC 16362. 1 2 Q. Where it says "Goal of Distribution," is that distribution of XRP? 3 4 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I don't see "Goal of Distribution." 5 6 I think you're on the wrong page. 7 I might be. Oh, sorry. Okay. This A. 8 page. Okay. 9 Q. Okay. So "Goal of Distribution," is 10 that distribution of XRP? 11 MR. HORTON: Objection to form; lack of foundation. 12 13 A. Again, I think going back to what this 14 document is, it's a recapping of the conversation that we had with I It was -- again, I don't 15 16 believe she was working at the company at the time or she was offering her views and her opinions. 17 18 O. So --A. We just wanted to make sure we 19 20 captured -- we understood what she had said and we're playing it back to her. 22 Q. To the extent she had offered a view or 23 opinion about goal of distribution, though, was that distribution of what? 24 25 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

70 This would have been distribution of XRP. 2 3 Q. Okay. And so she --4 You know, actually -- yeah, I believe A. that's distribution of XRP, yes. 5 6 Q. So as best you recall based on this sort 7 of contemporaneous recording of what her views were, two of the goals of distribution of XRP --8 let me start again. 9 Whose goals would this be that she was 10 11 talking about? 12 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 13 A. I -- I don't know. Would they be Ripple's goals? 14 Q. 15 MR. HORTON: Same objection. Again, it could have been a general 16 17 statement about how -- about cryptocurrencies or 18 anything. But I believe that's all I can really 19 say. 20 Q. How much time in 2013 did you spend in your job sort of looking for general opinions 21 about cryptocurrencies as opposed to, you know, 22 23 Ripple's cryptocurrency? 24 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

I don't know. It would have been

```
71
       something I would have thought about and sought
  1
  2
       out.
            Q. And --
  3
4
            A. Again, just going back to the premise,
       there's only bitcoin at this point and a handful
5
6
       of other technologies that utilize bitcoins,
7
       underlying blockchain technology. So this is a
       totally new green space here.
8
 9
            Q. Let's go on to the next page "Long Term
10
       Stages of Ripple." Just please read it to
 11
       yourself.
 12
                 (Pause)
 13
            A.
                Okay.
 14
            Q. So in "Long Term Stages of Ripple" --
       the prior page. So summing up,
 15
 16
       explained to you that there could be three faces
       of value for XRP, is that correct?
 17
 18
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                I don't remember.
 19
            A.
 20
            Q.
                Okay.
 21
                 That's what the document shows. And,
 22
       again, I don't know -- yeah.
 23
                 If you flip forward a couple pages to
       where it says "Overhang Concerns."
 24
 25
            A.
                Uh-huh.
```

Q. Sitting here today, what does "overhang concerns" mean to you in the context of this slide or this presentation?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I believe -- overhang would speak to the fact that -- that OpenCoin had a large holding of XRP and that represented an overhang of supply.
- Q. And that was a concern as it's -- as it's explained here on Bullet Point 2? That could be a concern, rather, for people?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. It -- I think it was something that we wanted to -- to think through. I don't -- concerned other people, I don't know. But it was one of the things we wanted to understand.
- Q. Over the course of the years at your employment at Ripple, did you come to understand that third parties, in fact, did have concerns over Ripple's holdings of XRP? The amount of Ripple's holdings of XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And what was the source -- how did you derive that sort of understanding?
 - A. I think there were -- it was -- it was

mostly through -- I want to say cryptocurrency media and forums and Twitter, social media. I think there was -- there was a -- a contingent of cryptocurrency adopters who I think it's fair to frame them as bitcoin maximalists who really believed that bitcoin was the end all and the be all and anything else needed to be effectively attacked and there was a lot of misinformation that was put out. And one of those -- one of that -- one of those talking points, if I could say talking points loosely, was that Ripple had a large amount of XRP and Ripple could sell its XRP at any given moment, and that represented an overhang of supply. And I think that's what it's referring to.

- Q. Was one of -- was the XRP escrow in part a response to that sort of overhang concern?

 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you're saying that that concern was had by bitcoin maximalists or -- just by them or more broadly by people in the digital asset space?
 - MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
- 25 A. I don't -- I don't know. I'm just

```
74
        loosely characterizing them as that concern coming
   1
        from that -- that corner of the cryptocurrency
   2
   3
        market.
           Q. What other --
   4
            A. And I think it had --
   5
            Q.
                 Sorry.
 6
   7
                 -- an outside impact. It became a
            A.
       talking point that occurred a lot that we had
8
       to -- that we spoke to.
  9
10
         Q. What -- what other -- what other things
       did Ripple do with its business in response to
11
12
       bitcoin maximalists?
 13
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
            A. I don't -- I don't know what Ripple
  14
  15
        does.
             Q. Well, what part -- how -- what
  16
  17
        percentage of you work -- of your work did you do
  18
        in response to bitcoin maximalists' view of XRP?
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  19
  20
                 I don't know what percentage of my work
  21
       was -- I had a lot of different responsibilities
  22
        at Ripple.
  23
                 Let's go through them just so that we're
  24
       clear because I've heard you say that a lot.
  25
               So what -- what are your -- what were
```

your general responsibilities at Ripple?

- A. Sure. And it varied over time.
- Q. Please.

A. And, I mean, at the beginning, it was largely focused on securing partnerships, but it was everything and it was other things as well. I mean, again, we're a small team. Also involved cleaning the dishes.

I mean, I -- and that evolved into helping to establish a sales team around our enterprise software products. It involved building out an integration team to support the deployment of that software.

I also oversaw corporate development efforts to secure acquisitions or sort of inorganic growth strategies.

I helped to raise funding for the business in the Series A and B and seed. And I was also involved in a -- in a -- the spin out of a company or an effort that, which I can't remember what it -- what it's called. The spring. I don't know what it was called when I -- when I left. I was there briefly for that.

- Q. What about managing Ripple's XRP sales?
- A. And -- and the sales of XRP, yes. XRP

76 1 markets team we had called them. What was your position in the XRP 2 3 markets team? I was -- they reported to me. 4 A. Q. Who reported to you? Who was the head 5 of that team? 6 7 At first it was Phil Rapoport and then A. it was Miguel Vias. 8 Q. Right. Anything else? Let's finish 9 10 this exhibit. I know you probably want to break. 11 MR. HORTON: Yeah. If you've got 12 a few more questions on this exhibit. Q. Well, first, just anything else -- I 13 14 mean, you might remember something else. Anything 15 else that were your responsibilities at Ripple that we didn't cover? 16 17 A. No. I -- no. 0. I mean --18 I think that sounds -- sounds like it's 19 20 about -- it's about it. 21 Q. Okay. And earlier I had asked you about -- separate from this exhibit, sort of if 22 23 you had come to learn over time that there were some concerns out there, let's just say out there, 24 25 about Ripple's holdings of XRP and you said, you

77 know, Twitter, social media. And my question, 1 2 just to close that out, is, is it fair to say at time -- from time to time, you read those forum, 3 4 like Twitter and social media, and that is how you 5 derived that understanding? 6 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 7 Yes. I believe so, yes. 8 And now, just to finish on this exhibit 9 real quick, we can go to the next page. It says 10 "Current Distributions." 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. It says "There are ways to increase 13 supply that create a bigger increase in demand." 14 So is that something that 15 explained to you as the head of business development at Ripple, ways to increase supply of 16 17 XRP that create a bigger increase in demand of 18 XRP? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 19 20 A. From what I can see here. I can't -- I don't remember this document; but reading the 21 22 document, the slide here, it looks that way. 23 The next page refers to "speculators." 24 It says "We'd prefer to attract speculators who 25 take a long-term view and believe XRP demand will

```
78
       overwhelm supply as commercial use of the network
1
2
       increases."
                Do you see that?
 3
4
           A.
                Yes.
5
         Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
6
       the "we" in that sentence refers to
7
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
8
            Q. Let me say it differently: Who does the
 9
       "we" in that statement -- in that sentence refer
       to?
10
           A. I don't know. It's a -- yeah, I
 11
       don't -- I don't know.
 12
            Q. Okay. And the last bullet point says
 13
 14
       "If you are holding XRP, you should want RL to
       retain XRP for business development. Give
 15
 16
       ourselves six months. Speculators are speculating
       on Ripple Labs."
 17
 18
                Do you see that?
            A. I do.
 19
 20
            Q. Who is "ourselves" in the "give
       ourselves six months" sentence?
 22
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 23
                Presumably it's Ripple.
 24
            Q. Okay. And what -- what does it mean
 25
       here, "Speculators are speculating on Ripple
```

79 Labs"? 1 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 2 I don't know. 3 A. 4 Just sitting here today and reading it, Mr. Griffin, what does that mean to you? I 5 6 understand you don't recall the conversations in 2013, but what does this mean to you today? 7 A. Well, I think this means to me -- I 8 don't know. I mean, there's -- I'm not sure. 9 And, again, this is me or Phil capturing the 10 11 conversation we had with an advisor. I think she 12 may have been an advisor at the time or she wasn't 13 even at the company. Q. Okay. But you don't understand what it 14 15 means sitting here today, "Speculators are speculating on Ripple Labs"? 16 MR. HORTON: Objection; asked and 17 18 answered. 19 I don't know because I think it's just 20 capturing a conversation that I had with an 21 outside advisor or person that was unaffiliated with the business at the time. 22 23 Did you have a view in 2013 that XRP speculators could be speculating on Ripple Labs? 24 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25

80 A. No. Okay. Did -- did you hear others at 2 Q. Ripple express a view that speculators in XRP 3 might be speculating on Ripple Labs? 4 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 5 6 I don't remember what others said. A. 7 Okay. Do you understand this sentence Q. to mean that speculators in XRP might be 8 speculating on Ripple Labs? 9 10 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 11 I just -- I understand the sentence. 12 Q. Okay. Is that -- is that what it means 13 to you by reading it sitting here today? MR. HORTON: Objection. 14 15 I'm sorry, is what -- I'm not following. Q. Well, it says "speculators." I want to 16 make sure that -- my question was, does it mean 17 18 speculators in XRP are speculating on Ripple Labs? 19 Is that what you understand it to mean? 20 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 21 A. As -- as I said, we're capturing a conversation that we had with an outside advisor 22 23 and so I can't remember what the detail -- what 24 the nuance is there. Q. Okay. Last page of the --25

82 1 Q. Okay. The last page so we can go on a break says "XRP Breakdown. Founders 20 percent. 2 Ripple Labs 80 percent." And then it says "RL 3 Equity 25. Nonprofit distribution 55." 4 Do you agree with my understanding that 5 25 and 55 sum up to 80? 6 7 A. Yes. Q. Okay. So do you know why there's a 8 reference to RL Equity 25 percent here? 9 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 10 11 No. I don't -- I don't remember. I 12 don't remember. I mean, I just -- again, I go 13 back to context. It's very early days. I think 14 we're trying to understand how all this works and 15 how we're thinking through it all. So, I -- yeah. I don't think any of this is set in stone. 16 Q. Okay. 17 MR. TENREIRO: Let's go off. Go 18 19 off the record, please. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 21 record at 10:22 a.m. Eastern. (Whereupon, a recess is taken.) 22 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on 24 the record at 10:37 a.m. Eastern.

BY MR. TENREIRO:

83 1 0. Mr. Griffin, we were discussing, you know, sort of the 2013 time frame. I'm 2 3 paraphrasing an answer you gave me. I'm not 4 saying exactly, but I think at some point, in 5 substance, you conveyed to me that you don't know 6 what Ripple, the company, was doing in 2013. You 7 could speak for yourself, is that correct? A. Yes. 8 Who -- who -- who could speak to what 9 10 Ripple, the company, was doing in 2013? 11 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 12 A. I don't know. 13 Q. Is it -- is it Mr. Larsen only? 14 MR. HORTON: Objection. 15 MS. COWAN: Objection. I don't know. 16 A. 17 Who knows? Who would know the answer to that question? 18 19 MR. HORTON: Same objection. 20 A. I don't know. There were -- you know, we could go back 21 Q. to the presentation, but I think you pointed out 22 23 to me there was a small number of people working 24 at -- at -- at Ripple at the time, right? 25 A. Yes.

84 1 But you're saying you cannot speak to -as one of its executive vice presidents, cannot 2 tell me what Ripple, the company, was thinking or 3 4 doing in 2013, is that correct? MR. HORTON: Objection. 5 A. Right. Ripple, I can't speak to what 6 7 Ripple was thinking, Ripple, the company. I think it was called OpenCoin at the time. 8 Q. Could you speak to what OpenCoin was 9 10 thinking? 11 MR. HORTON: Same objection. 12 A. No. 13 Q. Could you speak to what OpenCoin was doing? 14 15 A. No. Okay. Is it fair to say that in 2013, 16 one of the things you were doing on behalf of 17 18 OpenCoin was, you know, trying to develop 19 business? 20 A. Yes. Q. And that included, for example, you 21 know, partnerships with third parties? 22 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And that included, for example, potentially selling XRP to third parties? 25

85 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 2 A. I'm not sure. Okay. We're talking 2013 time period? 3 4 0. Yes. I think XRP -- I think the context is 5 very important here. At that point, I think XRP 6 7 was fractions of fractions of pennies. I don't know what the price was, but it was as close to 8 zero as I think you can get. And the -- the 9 10 market as measured by volume was -- I think it was 11 trading in the hundreds of dollars a day, maybe 12 thousands. So there really wasn't -- there really 13 wasn't any place to sell it even if we had wanted to sell it. I don't -- I don't remember that 14 15 being something that we were focused on because there really wasn't an opportunity for it. But 16 17 I -- that's my memory. 18 Separate of what -- separate from 19 whether you were focused on it, is it -- is it one 20 of the things you might have attempted to do, sell XRP in 2013? 21 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 22 23 Even if it was just once. 24 MR. HORTON: Same objection.

That was -- in 2013, I -- my

25

A.

```
86
       recollection is that I was -- I was pretty focused
1
2
       on developing partnerships and trying to
       understand how the technology could be applied in
3
4
       the business context.
5
            Q. So let's do Exhibit 9.
6
                (Whereupon, exhibit is presented and
7
       marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-9 for
       identification.)
8
9
                     MR. TENREIRO: This is a one-page
             e-mail with an attachment called "Ripple
10
             Primer." I don't have a Bates. It's the
 11
 12
             native version that was in the production.
 13
                     MS. COWAN: Can you get us the
14
             Bates number?
                     MR. TENREIRO: I probably can't.
 15
             Not sitting here today. I can get it to
 16
 17
             you after. It's in the production --
18
                   MS. GRESSEL: Is it Ripple
 19
             production?
 20
                     MR. TENREIRO: No. It's in the
             production, I believe, from
 21
 22
                     MS. GRESSEL: Oh. And you have
 23
             not reproduced --
 24
                     MR. TENREIRO: We have.
                     MS. GRESSEL: -- it to us with
 25
```

the Bates ranges? The SEC has been Bates stamping all of its production to us.

MR. TENREIRO: Right. It's just that when I printed this one, it printed -- the system printed the native, which did not have the Bates, as opposed to printing the image, which does have the Bates. It's just how it was printed.

MS. GRESSEL: But you believe we have a copy that has a Bates stamp from the SEC?

MR. TENREIRO: I'm as certain as I can be that you do, yes.

MS. GRESSEL: Okay.

MR. TENREIRO: Jon tells me that it's RPLI_SEC 320778. Although I'll just for the record note that what I gave the witness does not show that number.

MS. COWAN: I'm sorry, can you --

MR. TENREIRO: 320778.

MS. COWAN: So it is from the Ripple production, not from the --

MR. TENREIRO: Well, no. The one I printed is not, but apparently it's also in the Ripple production is what Jon just

```
88
             found. I printed one that is from the
 1
  2
             third party's production and that's why it
             printed without a Bates number. Does that
3
             make sense?
4
5
                      MS. COWAN: If you could also
6
             just get us a Bates number of the copy
 7
             that you're using in the deposition.
                      MR. TENREIRO: Yeah.
 8
9
                      MS. COWAN: That way we only made
10
             any --
 11
                      MR. TENREIRO: Yes. It's
 12
             Ripple 3399.
                      MS. GRESSEL: You said
 13
 14
             Ripple 3399?
                      MR. TENREIRO: I think so.
 15
                      While the witness is reading,
 16
             I'll just state again if I didn't for the
 17
             record, this appears to be an e-mail from
 18
             the witness to a number of people at
 19
 20
                              and to at least two
             Ripple employees on or around October
 21
 22
             25th, 2013.
 23
                      (Pause)
 24
                      MS. GRESSEL: Okay. We're still
             looking for this on our end, but in the
  25
```

```
89
             meantime, could you have someone from our
1
  2
             team e-mail us the
                                        version of the
             submission?
3
                     MR. TENREIRO: What Nicole
5
             e-mailed should be the one --
6
                      MS. GRESSEL: It's not. The
7
             e-mail version isn't stamped either.
                      MR. TENREIRO: No, the e-mail
 8
9
             version is unstamped just like this one.
10
             It's not stamped because it's the -- it's
 11
             the original native document in color.
 12
             The Bates stamped version is -- Jon, can
 13
             you pull it maybe and go through that --
                      MS. GRESSEL: Yeah. I think it
14
             would just be helpful. Thank you.
 15
                      MR. TENREIRO: Okay.
 16
 17
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 18
          Q. Mr. Griffin, having -- having reviewed
 19
       the document, do you have any reason to believe
 20
       you did not send this document on or around
       October 25th, 2013?
 22
            A.
                 No.
23
                 And who is -- do you see the cc line
       includes
 24
  25
            A.
                 Yes.
```

```
90
                 Who is that?
1
  2
            A. He's the founder of
                                                 and I
       think he went on to create
3
4
                      THE REPORTER: I can't hear you,
5
            sir.
6
            A. I think he went on to create
7
                 What is or was
                                            in 2013?
            0.
 8
9
            A.
                 I don't -- I don't know.
               Well, is -- what is
 10
 11
                 They're a digital currency business and
 12
            A.
       I think they -- they have a range of offerings in
 13
 14
       the digital currency world.
            Q. What was the purpose of you sending this
 15
       presentation to -- or this document, rather, to
 16
                   and others at his company?
 17
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 18
            A. I don't remember what we were trying to
 19
 20
       accomplish with this e-mail.
            Q. Having spent some time reading through
 21
22
       the document, do you have any reason to doubt the
23
       accuracy of its contents?
 24
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 25
            A.
                 No.
```

91 Okay. And you say -- in the cover page, 1 you say "There are a few areas we can work 2 together, but as a first step, we want to strike a 3 deal that includes XRP." 4 Do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 7 Does that refresh your memory as to Q. whether, in 2013, on behalf of Ripple, you were 8 looking for deals that might include sales of XRP? 9 10 THE REPORTER: Repeat, please. 11 Q. Does that refresh your memory as to 12 whether, in 2013, on behalf of Ripple, you worked 13 to secure potential deals that included the sale 14 of XRP? 15 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I don't -- I don't remember. 16 A. 17 Do you have any reason to doubt that in 2013, one of the things you might have been doing 18 on behalf of Ripple included seeking to sell its 19 20 XRP? 21 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 22 A. No. 23 Okay. On page 13 of the presentation, at the bottom it says "Users of the Ripple network 24 25 are not required to use XRP as a medium of

Casse 11:200 cov-1008332-ATT-SNN Doccument 68366-144 FFiled 009/1131/222 Prage 983 off 13874 92 exchange or as a store of value." 1 Do you see that? 2 I do. 3 A. Is it fair to say around 2013, you know, 4 0. the XRP ledger or the Ripple consensus ledger, as 5 it was known, one of the things people could 6 7 potentially do on it was sort of exchange different assets on it? 8 A. 9 Yes. 10 And is it fair to say that if people 11 wanted to do that, they did not need to use XRP as 12 a medium of exchange in connection with those 13 types of transactions as reflected in this 14 document? 15 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. A. Yes, with the caveat being that there 16 17 was a security fee for -- to operate the network. 18 So any change to the system required that -- that 19

amount of -- an amount of XRP to be spent. Q.

That de minimis amount of XRP drops was required and is required to -- for the consensus ledger to move forward, correct?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Beyond that, is it fair to say that the Q.

```
93
       Ripple network is currency agnostic as the
   1
       document reflects?
   2
            A. So -- yes.
   3
           Q. And has that fact changed, as far as you
   4
       know, from 2013 to the present?
   5
         A. I don't -- I haven't checked in in three
   6
   7
       or four years. I'm not totally sure where it's at
       today, but as far as I know.
 8
           Q. By the time you left, was that -- had
  9
10
       that changed?
11
            A. No.
12
            Q. Okay. Let's go to page -- sorry -- 17.
13
       It says "About: Ripple Labs Inc."
              Do you see that?
 14
           A. I do.
 15
            Q. And the next page the, you know,
16
17
       contacts are you and Mr. Rapoport?
            A. Yes.
18
            Q. Any recollection as to who drafted this
  19
 20
       document?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection.
  21
           A. I don't know who was involved entirely.
  22
  23
       I -- I certainly looked at it in its draft form
  24
       and I know Phil was involved in the drafting of
       it, too.
  25
```

95 1 consensus ledger, correct? 2 I'm not a hundred percent sure. Q. Then it -- well, it follows by saying 3 "We developed the protocol and its distributed 4 payment network, and we now work to support and 5 promote its growth." 6 7 Right. A. Do you see that? Do you see that? 8 A. I do see that. 9 Q. Okay. Is that a reference to the Ripple 10 11 protocol? 12 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 13 I -- just one point of -- of 14 clarification I would want to make on this, which is, you know, the technology was very complicated 15 to explain, which is why I think that this primer 16 17 was probably drafted in the first place. And in 18 explaining it, you know, to -- to outsiders, I 19 think sometimes we would just -- in crea -- in 20 trying to explain the relationships of Ripple and 21 the technology versus the founders of the technology, you sort of go a long way to not get 22 23 very much out of it and try to div -- to sort out 24 all of these nuances about Ripple Inc. being -- or

Ripple Labs creating Ripple or the founders of

technology creating Ripple. To an outsider reading it, trying to understand what it is, it's just -- it's a detail that gets lost.

- So I don't know if this is a conscious decision or what was going on here to explain that, but I do -- I do see it. I don't believe it's accurate. But, yeah.
 - Q. Why is it not accurate?
- A. As far as I know, Ripple, the technology, was -- was being worked on prior to the creation of the company.
- Q. And that's -- you're saying that's a detail that sometimes was a nuance that you didn't necessarily always explain?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. No, I didn't say that. I just -it's -- it was a nuance that I think was not
 necessarily relevant in a business conversation.
 So, like, for example, we talked about that
 discussion. I don't think we could
 ever get to a level of detail where they would
- Q. And were -- were there ever contexts

 later in time after 2013 where that sort of level

 of detail was something you did want to discuss?

have had a question about something like that.

97 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I don't remember. 2 A. 3 Q. Did there come a time while you were working at Ripple where Ripple made efforts to 4 make statements about, you know, the sequencing in 5 time about, you know, the creation of the ledger 6 7 versus the creation of the company? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 8 9 A. It wasn't something that we really spent 10 a lot of time thinking about or focused on. 11 Q. But my question was, did there come a 12 time when you were working at the company where 13 Ripple made efforts to make statements about the 14 sequencing in time of the creation of the ledger 15 versus the creation of the company? MR. HORTON: Objection to form; 16 asked and answered. 17 I don't -- I don't remember. I don't... 18 19 Okay. Further down it says "Ripple Labs hopes to make money from XRP if the world finds 20 21 the Ripple network useful and broadly adopts the protocol." 22 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I do. Q. Was that statement accurate as of 2013?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I can't speak to Ripple Labs, but it looks accurate.

Q. Well, to the extent that you and Mr. Rapoport put a statement that you then distributed outside of Ripple, what work, if any, did you do to make sure that this was an accurate representation of Ripple's hopes in 2013?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. Can you ask that again, please?
- Q. To the extent that you and Mr. Rapoport put a statement in this document that you then distributed outside of Ripple, what work, if any, did you do to make sure that this was an accurate representation of Ripple's hopes in 2013?

MR. HORTON: Same objection.

- A. I -- I don't even remember drafting this, so I can't speak to the process of drafting it.
- Q. Generally speaking, outside of the context of this document, to the extent that you wanted to understand what Ripple's hopes were before you made representations to the outside world about Ripple's hopes in 2013, did you speak to, for example, Mr. Larsen about Ripple's hopes

99 1 at the time? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 2 A. I would have spoken -- the -- so 2013 is 3 4 the first time -- first -- my first year at the 5 company. It took several months to get -- get up to speed on -- on all of this. I would have 6 7 talked to different people at different points along that journey. 8 Q. Okay. Who were the people along the 9 10 different points? 11 Well, I would have talked to, for 12 example, Arthur Britto and David Schwartz to get a 13 better understanding of how the technology works. And I would have talked to Chris Larsen about 14 15 different business partnership ideas, potential 16 investors in Ripple Inc. It's -- it's a long time 17 ago. I don't remember what the shape or color of the conversation was --18 19 Q. To the --20 A. -- in 2013. 21 To the extent you wished to gain any understanding about Ripple's hopes about how it 22 23 was going to make money, who would that 24 conversation have been with --MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25

```
100
            O. -- in 2013?
                 It could have been with any -- any of
   2
        those people that I just mentioned.
   3
                 Britto, Schwartz, and Larsen?
   4
            0.
                 Yeah. Yes.
   5
            A.
            Q.
                 Anyone else?
  6
   7
            A.
                 Well, certainly Jed McCaleb as well.
               Okay. Anyone else?
            0.
 8
            A.
                 No.
  9
                 The next paragraph begins with "100
10
11
       billion XRP was created with the Ripple protocol."
12
                 Do you see that?
 13
            A.
                 Yes.
                 Is it true?
 14
            Q.
 15
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                 I believe so.
  16
            A.
 17
                 Ripple -- then it says "Ripple Labs
        plans to gift 55 billion XRP to charitable
 18
  19
        organizations, users, and strategic partners in
 20
       the ecosystem over time."
  21
                 Do you see that?
  22
                 I do.
           A.
  23
                 As far as you know, was that an accurate
 24
        representation of Ripple's then-current plans with
        respect to XRP?
  25
```

101 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. You can answer. 2 3 A. Yes. Okay. Then it says "The company will 4 retain a portion with the hope of creating a 5 robust and liquid marketplace in order to monetize 6 its only asset sometime in the future." 7 Do you see that? 8 I do. 9 A. Q. As far as you know, is that an accurate 10 statement of Ripple's plans, then-current plans? 11 12 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 13 A. Yes. I think Ripple hoped it could benefit from an increase of liquidity around XRP 14 15 and in turn the asset that it held. And, again, for context, the market around XRP at that time 16 17 was next to -- next to zero. Volume and price, 18 virtually nothing. 19 Q. All right. The bottom says "The Ripple 20 protocol is in its infancy." 21 Do you see that? 22 I do. A. 23 Is that true as of October 2013, that 24 the Ripple protocol was in its infancy? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25

Casse 11 200 cov-1008322-AXT-SSN | Doccument 68356-144 | FFiled 1009/1137222 | Pragge 11008 off 13874 102 A. It sounds right. Then I'm going to skip a sentence and 2 Q. 3 then the next sentence says "At Ripple Labs, we 4 are working on many exciting new applications and the utility of the Ripple network will only grow." 5 6 Is that statement true as of October of 7 2013? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 8 9 Sounds -- sounds accurate. A. 10 Q. Okay. One second. MS. COWAN: Jorge, could I ask 11 12 that you just slow down a little bit in 13 asking the question? Because as I said before, I'm having trouble following. 14 15 MR. TENREIRO: I will continue to 16 try my best.

MS. COWAN: I appreciate that. Thank you.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MR. TENREIRO:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Griffin, when you started working at Ripple, what was the market for XRP like? You touched upon it a little bit, but I'd like to talk a little bit more about that.

A. Okay.

103 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. You can answer. 2 3 A. I recall that the market was very small. I don't recall there was very much of a market. 4 So -- and you're measuring that by 5 Q. volume? 6 7 A. By volume and price and everything. I don't -- I don't think that there was -- I think 8 it was sort of aspirational at best that there 9 would ever be a market around XRP. 10 11 Q. Aspirational by whom? 12 By the founders of the company, by me. 13 Q. When were you granted your XRP, by the 14 way? 15 THE REPORTER: When were you? Granted your XRP? 16 Q. MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 17 18 I received a grant -- when was I granted? I think in 2017. 19 20 Q. And I think you said, again, roughly in 21 2013, maybe the daily volume was in the hundreds or literally a hundred or a thousand dollars of 22 23 XRP? 24 A. I don't know the exact number, but that -- that sounds directionally correct. 25

104 And -- and the price roughly fractions of pennies? 2 A. Yes, that's what I recall. 3 4 Q. Okay. And there were a hundred billion units of XRP created, is that correct? 5 6 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 7 That's correct. A. Q. Some small amounts are burned for the 8 operation of the ledger, is that correct? Was 9 10 that your understanding in 2013? A. Yes. 11 12 Q. At the -- at the price and volume for 13 XRP in 2013, could that market support 14 cross-border payments by someone like MoneyGram? 15 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. A. In -- in 2013? 16 O. Yes. 17 18 I'm sorry, is the question about the 19 market dynamics around XRP or --20 Q. Yeah. So the question just was at that 21 price and volume for XRP in 2013, for the order of 22 magnitude for those variables. 23 A. Okay. 24 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25 You can answer.

A. Sorry, it's just not a straightforward question to answer because presumably if there is a -- the more utilization that maybe is going across the system, you know, I think that -- I think the theory goes at that time was that the more volume in price that would -- would accrue to the system, to XRP. And so, yeah, I think -- I think so. I think the answer is yes.

Q. So --

- A. So not 100 percent of all MoneyGram's volume on, like -- like, you know, at the snap of a finger, probably not, but I think there -- there was a pathway to make that work.
- Q. There was a pathway to make it work in 2013?
 - A. Possibly.
 - Q. Okay. And if that -- if that pathway worked, the theory goes -- or at the time was that more volume and price would accrue to the system?
 - A. Yes.

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- Q. As far as you know, did Ripple sell XRP for cross-border payments in 2013?
 - A. Not that I know of.
 - Q. Okay. Did Ripple take steps starting in

```
106
   1
       2013 to increase the amount of trading in the XRP
       market?
   2
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
   3
           A
                I don't -- I don't recall.
  4
                Did Ripple take steps starting in 2013
   5
 6
       that increased the amount of trading in the XRP
       market?
  7
                   MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
8
           A.
                 No.
 9
10
           Q.
                 Well, did Ripple sell any XRP in 2013?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection.
11
12
            A.
                 I don't remember.
13
           Q. Okay.
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
14
15
           Exhibit 10 real quick.
                     (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
 16
           and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-10 for
 17
            identification.)
 18
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
 19
 20
           Q. So Exhibit 10 is a text message. The
      Bates is Larsen SEC Lit 3489.
 21
 22
          A. Okay.
 23
            Q. Any reason to believe that you did not
 24
      send Mr. Larsen this message on or around November
       the 3rd of 2013?
  25
```

```
107
                     MS. COWAN: Objection to form.
1
2
            A. No.
            Q. It refers to a charity here in New York.
3
4
       Do you see that?
5
            A. I do.
                What charity was that?
6
            Q.
7
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                     MS. COWAN: Object to the form.
 8
9
            A.
                 I can't remember the name of the
       charity.
 10
                Did -- at the end, it says "
 11
       have this ability at their event."
 12
 13
            A. Okay.
            Q. Did you -- did Ripple provide
 14
                           XRP?
 15
 16
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                I don't remember what the amount was.
 17
         Q. Well, did Ripple provide any XRP to this
 18
       charity?
 19
 20
           A. Yes. Ripple made a donation of XRP to
       the charity.
 21
 22
                     MR. WARD: I couldn't get my
 23
            objection in. Objection.
            Q. The reference to "Phil has buyers
 24
 25
       interested in buying XRP," do you see that?
```

108 A. Yes. Is that separate -- so different from 2 Q. the charity; buyers are one group of people and 3 4 the charity is something else? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 5 6 It sounds -- it looks that way, but I 7 can't speak to what -- what exactly that means. 0. Does this refresh your memory as to 8 whether Ripple sold XRP in 2013? 9 A. 10 No. Okay. You say "They are a high-profile 11 0. 12 organization with market-maker community." 13 Why would you highlight that -highlight that in your text to Mr. Larsen? 14 15 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I can't remember a text message from 16 2013. 17 Q. Did -- is one of the things you were 18 19 doing on behalf of Ripple in 2013 trying to 20 identify ways in which you could increase the size 21 of the XRP market? 22 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And what were the other steps -- any other steps you might have taken to do that? 25

109 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. We may have talked to trading firms 2 about cryptocurrency. That's kind of the activity 3 I have a recollection of doing at that time. 4 Q. Why did -- well, did Mr. Larsen want to 5 identify ways in which you could increase the size 6 of the XRP markets in 2013? 7 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 8 MR. WARD: Objection to form. 9 10 A. I don't know what Chris was focused on. Q. Did Ripple want to do that? 11 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 12 13 A. Same answer. 14 Q. So you can --15 THE REPORTER: I didn't hear 16 that answer. 17 A. Same answer. Did you want to do that? 18 A. Increase the market for XRP? Yes. 19 20 Q. As part of your work for Ripple? 21 Α. Yes. Do you recall if anyone directed you to 22 0. 23 do that or this is just something you wanted to do 24 on your own? 25 MR. WARD: Objection to form.

```
110
                 I can't -- I don't know how that came
   1
        about.
   2
                 Okay. Why did you want to do that?
   3
            Q.
   4
                      MR. WARD: Objection to form.
   5
                      MR. TENREIRO: What's your
             objection? What's the objection?
 6
   7
                      MR. WARD: What's the objection?
                      MR. TENREIRO: Yes.
  8
  9
                      MR. WARD: I think the witness
             just testified unless I'm
10
 11
             misunderstanding that he didn't remember.
12
             So I'm not sure how he could remember
 13
             why.
                    MR. TENREIRO: He remembered --
 14
 15
             okay.
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
  16
            O. Please answer it.
 17
 18
                 Please ask the question again.
  19
            Q.
                 Why did you want to do this?
 20
            A.
                 To help develop an ecosystem around XRP,
        around cryptocurrency.
  21
  22
                 And why did you want to do that?
  23
            A. Well, I think if you go -- I believe we
 24
       made reference to the previous document that spoke
        at length about the bridge protocol and how -- how
  25
```

1 XRP could be utilized to facilitate cross-currency, cross-asset transactions. To the 2 extent that that idea is possible, it requires 3 there to be a healthy and robust market of trading 4 price discovery for XRP and that requires market 5 participants like market makers to be actively in 6 7 the system buying and selling and making markets for -- against XRP. 8 So that was kind of part of the -- the 9 10 value proposition for the whole technology. That -- that's why I was focused on it. 11 12 Q. The value proposition for the whole 13 technology, when you say "technology," are you 14 talking about Ripple's technology? 15 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I'm talking about the open-source 16 17 technology, the Ripple consensus ledger, which I think is what it was called in 2013. 18 19 Q. Okay. And so the value proposition was 20 that there be a healthy and robust market of 21 trading price discovery? Was that part of the value proposition? 22 23 A. The value --24 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25 You can answer.

A. The value proposition was to facilitate seamless transactions from one currency or one system to another system. And the more liquid XRP was within this technology, within this protocol, the more seamless those transactions could occur both in terms of speed and cost.

Q. Is it fair to say that throughout your employment at Ripple, you took steps to increase the liquidity of the XRP market?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. What I -- what I remember in my time at Ripple is that whatever steps I took, there was no direct linear cause and effect of anything that I did to impact -- or anything that we did to impact the market around XRP.
- Q. My question was, is it fair to say that throughout your employment at Ripple, you took steps with the hope of increasing the liquidity of the XRP market?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I -- I don't know what my hope was in 2013.
- Q. Throughout your employment at Ripple,
 Mr. Griffin.

MR. HORTON: Same objection.

- A. To increase the liquidity around XRP? Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that throughout your employment at Ripple, others at Ripple took steps with the hope of increasing the liquidity of the XRP market?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I can't -- yes. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that throughout your employment at Ripple, you understood that one of the company's goals was to create a use for the -- you know, for XRP as a facilitator of asset-to-asset transactions?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, earlier we talked about the hypothetical MoneyGram transaction in 2013. I think you said -- I'm going to paraphrase very generally what you said -- but I think the conversation was something along the lines of it could have been done in 2013 that you transferred, you know, from -- value from one asset to another using the XRP.

Is that fair to say, that that was theoretically possible in 2013?

```
114
                    MR. HORTON: Jorge, I'm going to
             object to you rephrasing his prior
   2
             testimony and asking him to affirm it.
   3
             Can you just ask him a question about what
   4
             he thought in 2013?
   5
   6
                      MR. TENREIRO: Yeah.
   7
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
            Q. In 2013, you know, could MoneyGram
  8
        effect cross-border payments with XRP as the
  9
       bridge currency?
10
11
                     MR. HORTON: Objection; asked and
12
            answered.
 13
            A. Yes.
            Q. Okay. And -- but MoneyGram did not do
 14
 15
        that in 2013 --
                      MR. HORTON: Objection.
  16
            0.
                 -- is that correct?
 17
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 18
                 I don't know.
  19
            A.
 20
           Q. As far as you know, did MoneyGram do
        that in 2013?
  21
  22
           Α.
                 No.
  23
            Q. Okay. And in order for, you know, any
  24
        company -- I'm using MoneyGram simply as a
  25
        hypothetical, but in order for any company to
```

effect transfers of values in XRP, one

prerequisite -- in a systematic fashion, one

prerequisite was a market for XRP that had higher

volume and higher price than what you had in 2013,

correct?

MR. HORTON: Object. Objection to form.

A. Well, in fact, to use the system,
there's no requirement to use the XRP. So I think
the -- a lot -- the starting place for some of the
conversations we had with payment companies was
more about fiat-to-fiat transfers, so euros to
dollars or rupee to pounds or whatever the
conversation was.

And the idea was that if the technology -- if the volume started moving across the system and there's a proliferation of endpoints on the network, that the technology that's needed within it could start to be utilized without any -- any push. It's just that -- that there's sort of the native aspects of the XRP would stand on its own.

Q. Right.

And so I understand that to use the system, there's no requirement to use XRP. I got

116 1 that part. But my question was, if someone did want 2 to use XRP for these sort of transactions, is it 3 fair to say that -- in any system, if they were 4 going to use it in any systematic fashion as 5 opposed to theoretically, is it fair to say that 6 7 you needed higher volume for XRP trading than you had in 2013? 8 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 9 No. 10 A. 0. Why not? 11 12 A. XRP could be transferred directly from 13 one point to another without any volume. 14 Transferred from one point to another? 15 Without any trading volume or activity. Just like bitcoin is traded across the bitcoin 16 blockchain. There's no exchange within bitcoin 17 18 and you can just transfer bitcoins around. And 19 the same is true of XRP. 20 0. And did that occur in 2013? MR. HORTON: Objection. 21 22 I don't know. A. 23 Okay. Did Ripple sell any XRP so that 24 that could occur in 2013? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 25

- A. I don't know.
- Q. As part of your work for Ripple with respect to hoping to increase the trading in the XRP market, was one of the things that Ripple did was give away XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Yes.

Q. And for what purpose? Just to be clear, did Ripple give away XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I think, again, just to gen -- to create and help to create more liquidity around XRP.
 - Q. And just to be clear, the reason to -to create -- help create more liquidity around XRP
 was what?
 - A. Okay. The more liquidity around XRP, the more useful the network was for any participant. So there's a payment network using the system and there's cheap spreads that can be facilitated through XRP to any other endpoint. It just means that any sort of business can utilize the system without having to have, you know, a complicated web of direct pairings but instead could just go through the XRP, which is native to the system. So it just sort streamlines the --

```
118
   1
       the operation of cross asset or cross currency
       flows.
   2
  3
            Q. Is it fair to say throughout your --
       throughout your employment at Ripple, Ripple
  4
       desired to make the network more useful?
   5
6
                     MR. HORTON: Objection -- sorry.
  7
           Objection to form.
         A. I desired to make the -- the network
8
       more useful.
 9
10
           Q. Were there others at Ripple that shared
       that desire, as far as you know, based on your --
11
           A. I can't --
12
13
           Q.
                -- conversations with your coworkers?
14
           A. You're asking me to speak to others and
15
       I can't speak for other people.
            Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
 16
       your desire was contrary to the company's desire?
 17
                    MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 18
           A. No.
  19
 20
           Q. Okay.
  21
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
           Exhibit 8, please.
  22
  23
                    (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
            and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-8 for
  24
             identification.)
  25
```

```
119
  1
      BY MR. TENREIRO:
           Q. So this is a one-page document, RPLI SEC
  2
  3
      331323.
                Mr. Griffin, do you see this document
  4
       appears to reference a give-away program?
  5
6
          A. Okay. I do see that.
  7
           Q. Is that give-away program with respect
       to XRP? Is that fair?
8
  9
                   MR. HORTON: Objection.
10
            Objection to form.
11
           A. I have no idea. I don't see the
12
       document that this is referring to.
 13
            Q. Do you see your comment where you say "I
       like giving XRP to the poor because they don't
 14
 15
       want it"?
            A. I do see that.
 16
            Q. Okay. So does that -- just reading this
 17
 18
       edit page today, does that refresh your memory as
 19
       to whether the give-away program that you're
 20
       discussing is a give-away program with respect to
 21
       XRP?
 22
            A. No --
                   MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 23
 24
                     You can answer.
                 There's no way I can answer this because
 25
            A.
```

- it's -- this is a comment on a document, on a Google document. I don't know what the document is that it's referring to. I have zero context about what this is about.
- Q. Was there any other give-away program at
 Ripple in 2013 other than with respect to XRP?

 MR. HORTON: Objection.
 - A. No.

- Q. Okay. Why did you say you liked giving XRP to the poor because they don't want it?

 MR. HORTON: Objection.
- A. Same answer I'd give -- which I just gave. This is referring to a document and it's a collaborative document, it appears, where there's other people in the document. The document's being changed. I don't know what the underlying document is. I can't speak to the comment.
 - Q. Well, it's your comment, right, as far as what this document reflects?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Then you say "they don't want it, will spend it and buy things they need with it, and then market makers who do want it will buy it on the cheap, plus, we are able to circulate the currency, kind of a win-win all around."

121 Do you see all of that? 2 A. I do. Q. Okay. Was circulating the currency a 3 reference to circulating XRP? 4 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 5 A. Again, you're -- you're asking me to com 6 -- to comment on a comment from a document in 7 2013. Is it in 2013? 2013. I don't -- I 8 remember working in these Google Docs. It's 9 constantly changing. I don't know how many other 10 people were in this document. I don't know what 11 12 the purpose of this document was. 13 Sitting here today, I don't remember 14 even the give-away program and I -- let alone the document, let alone the comment that begins the 15 16 document, all the changes that were happening in 17 the document. I -- I don't really have a comment 18 on this. Were there other currencies that Ripple 19 20 was circulating in 2013 other than XRP? 21 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. I don't -- I don't know. 22 A. 23 Okay. Was one of the goals of Ripple's 24 XRP give-away programs was to give it to people 25 who would then, you know, transfer it in some

```
122
       other way going forward?
   1
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form
   2
           and lack of foundation.
   3
           Α.
                 I don't know.
  4
           Q. Below you say ""For consumers, we need
   5
 6
       an easy way to redeem XRP."
  7
                     MR. HORTON: Objection. I don't
             think it's clear that that's his comment.
8
               MR. TENREIRO: Well, fair enough.
 9
10
            Q. It says "For consumers, we need an easy
       way to redeem XRP, " and then it says "True."
11
12
               Do you see that?
13
            A. Okay. Yes.
            Q. What does "redeem XRP" mean?
14
15
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to --
            objection to form; lack of foundation.
 16
            A. I don't know what the comment -- I don't
 17
       know if this is my comment or somebody else's
 18
       comment. And I don't know. I have no idea what
  19
 20
       that means.
            Q. Okay.
  21
                     MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
  22
  23
           Exhibit 11, please.
                      (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
  24
             and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-11 for
  25
```

```
123
             identification.)
                      MR. TENREIRO: This is a two-page
   2
   3
             text thread. It has no Bates and I think
             that's because that was how it was
  4
   5
             produced to us. You have a copy.
                      MS. COWAN: Who produced this to
  6
  7
             you?
                      MR. TENREIRO: One of either
  8
             Larsen or Griffin. I only get these the
  9
10
             night before the depo.
                      MR. HORTON: Well, I just -- in
 11
12
             view of that comment, Jorge, I'll just
 13
             represent that our productions are Bates
 14
             stamped, so this doesn't appear to be from
            our production.
 15
                    MR. TENREIRO: Right. And I'll
 16
 17
             represent to you that when we get
 18
             production at midnight, 24 hours before a
             deposition, we have to look at native
 19
 20
             files which are not actually Bates
 21
             stamped. The images are. I'm just
             explaining to her why it was not Bates
  22
 23
             stamped.
 24
                      MR. HORTON: That's -- that's
  25
             fine. It wasn't my intention to talk
```

```
124
             about this on the record. You brought it
             up. The reason you're getting productions
   2
   3
             when you're getting productions is when
             the subpoenas go out. I don't think we
   4
             should waste time on this.
   5
                      MR. TENREIRO: I agree.
  6
  7
        BY MR. TENREIRO:
           Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that you
8
       sent these messages to Mr. Larsen, Mr. Griffin?
  9
10
           A.
                 No.
            Q. The first page references "We need to
11
12
       talk about" XR spee -- "XRP spend through yhr
 13
      card." I don't know, do you know what "yhr" means?
                 No.
 14
            A.
                 Maybe it's a typo for the card?
 15
            Q.
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 16
                 Is that fair?
 17
            0.
                 I have no idea what that is.
 18
            Q. Okay. You don't know what --
  19
 20
                 I can't venture a guess as to what that
  21
        means.
                 You do -- do you have any recollection
  22
  23
        at all about the ability to spend XRP with a card?
 24
           A.
                 Yes.
  25
            Q. Okay. So what -- is that a reference to
```

125 1 that? A. Well, I don't know what yhr is. Is 2 that -- is that your question? 3 Q. No. 4 MR. WARD: I wasn't able -- I 5 was just going to object to the form 6 7 there. I just couldn't get it in before the answer started. 8 MR. TENREIRO: Yeah. 9 10 MR. HORTON: Jorge, if we could just try to keep the pace a little slower 11 12 so that we can interject our objections 13 appropriately, he can hear your questions 14 and answer them --15 MR. TENREIRO: I think you're --16 you're interposing your objections even before I finish my questions. In some 17 18 cases, it's fine. Let's keep going. MR. HORTON: The reason for that 19 20 is that many of your questions have had 21 more than one question in one. So when you reach a question mark, I object to the 22 23 question. If you're going to do a second 24 question at the same time, it may come 25 before that. I think if you slow down,

```
126
             that will happen less.
1
                     THE REPORTER: If everybody
  2
            could slow down, that would be better for
3
4
             the record.
5
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
6
            Q. Mr. Griffin, what is your memory of the
 7
       ability to spend XRP on a card?
                 Is that we piloted -- I think that we --
 8
9
       we created a pilot of a card and the pilot
       being -- I think we created, like, a handful,
 10
 11
       maybe five or six credit cards. They were
       actually debit cards as I -- as I recall that
 12
 13
       could be spent through traditional credit card
                                         , wherein the
 14
       rails, meaning
                                 and
       credit card -- the swiping of the credit card
 15
       would facilitate a drawdown of an XRP balance that
 16
 17
       in real time could be traded for dollars which
       would fund the -- the transaction through the
 18
       interchange rails that the credit card network
 19
 20
       does.
 21
                      MR. TENREIRO: For the record,
 22
             this document is Larsen SEC Lit 3499 to
 23
             3500.
 24
                      MS. COWAN: Thank you.
 25
                      MS. GRESSEL: 34 --
```

127 1 MR. TENREIRO: -- 99 to 3500. BY MR. TENREIRO: 2 3 Q. Okay. And when you say "we create a pilot of a card, " who is "we"? 4 A. OpenCoin or Ripple. I don't know 5 6 what -- what it was called at the time. 7 How much XRP did Ripple sell to people Q. using these -- this pilot card? 8 9 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 10 A. I -- I don't -- I don't remember that being even a -- I don't know what you mean. 11 12 Q. Did Ripple sell any XRP to people using 13 this card? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 14 15 A. I don't know. Did the card ever go beyond the pilot 16 17 stage? A. I don't think so. 18 Q. Okay. Was the card one of the things 19 20 that Ripple was exploring at the time as 21 potential, you know, uses of this technology? 22 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 23 A. I believe so. 24 Q. And in the second text, you say "The whole program can really only support about one to 25

128 1 two K per day of XRP without tanking the price of XRP." 2 3 Do you see that? Α. Okay. I do. 4 5 Q. Okay. And why are you texting that to Mr. Larsen? 6 7 MR. WARD: Objection to form. I -- I don't know. I -- I presume we're 8 A. talking about the -- the -- the limit that the 9 10 card can -- that the market, XRP market, can -can handle. So, again, this is the beginning of 11 12 2014. The market, as I recall, was still very 13 small and there was only so much XRP that could be moved through -- you know, through an open order 14 15 to facilitate a trade of XRP for dollars or euros or whatever the card was -- was -- was using to 16 17 pay the -- the card networks. And I think this is 18 referring to the -- to the -- how robust the XRP market and liquidity around it was at the time. 19 20 Q. So the reference to tanking the price of XRP is a reference to how robust the XRP market 21 and liquidity around it was at the time? 22 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 23 24 Q. Is that your testimony? 25 MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I just -- it's just a question -- it's just -- I think I'm just speaking to the available liquidity for XRP at that time.
 - Q. Is it fair to say --
 - A. So --

- Q. Sorry.
- A. -- if the liquidity is small, then it can have an impact on a number of things, price being one of them.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you are concerned that this credit card program could tank the price of XRP if it was used for more than about one to two K per day?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

MR. WARD: Objection to form.

- A. I don't know.
- Q. And do you -- let me just make sure I understand. The card pilot was so that the user could -- could essentially spend money, you know, buy goods and services?
- accepted. So, again, this is very early on. I mean, this is probably one of the first products that were built on the cryptocurrency system. I mean, it was just a pilot. And the premise here

```
was that we were -- we were really, I think, focused on the decentralized exchange capability within the Ripple consensus ledger. And as I'm going through this, what I recall is, in fact, it wasn't just about XRP. It was the ability to spend any asset and have it processed against the dollar based or, you know, traditional fiat denominated credit card system in real time.
```

- Q. So just to be clear, did this pilot that

 -- where you could use a card wherever and

 are accepted was not ever, you know,

 commercially developed by Ripple?
- - Q. Yes.

The pilot, where you could use a card wherever one of the traditional credit card rails were accepted, it was not ever commercially developed by Ripple, correct?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I believe that's correct. I can't remember how that -- where that went.

Q. To the extent that it was not commercially developed by Ripple, was one of the reasons a concern that the credit card use could tank the price of XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I don't -- I don't remember, but I will go back to what was interesting -- what was the -- the sort of interesting aspect of the whole system was that, in fact, it wasn't just about XRP. I think at the time I recall there was a -- an issue or a goal on the system, for example. And so there was -- you could maintain -- you could carry a goal balance and draw down against your goal balance to pay your groceries.

There -- there are a lot of different sort of use cases built within that. I don't -- I think XRP was -- would have been one of them, but it wasn't the only thing.

Q. Right.

So -- but my question was, to the extent Ripple did not commercially develop this product, was one of the reasons concerns that it could tank the price of XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I don't remember what -- even if it was

```
132
       commercially developed, let alone what the reason
1
2
       was for it not being --
            Q. Okay.
3
4
            A. -- developed.
5
                 MR. TENREIRO: Let's look at
            Exhibit 13, please.
6
                 (Whereupon, exhibit is presented
7
            and marked SEC Griffin Exhibit PG-13 for
8
9
            identification.)
               MR. TENREIRO: This is a one-page
 10
 11
            e-mail, RPLI SEC 425911. It appears to be
            an e-mail on or around May 8th, 2014, from
 12
            Mr. Griffin to Mr. Larsen and
 13
 14
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
            Q. Mr. Griffin, the subject line is "XRP
 15
       injections."
 16
                Do you see that?
 17
            A. Just give me one second, please.
18
 19
           Q. Okay.
 20
            A.
                Okay.
 21
            Q. Okay. The subject line of the e-mail --
       by the way, do you have any reason to believe you
 22
 23
       did not send this e-mail on or around May 8th,
       2014?
 24
 25
          A.
                No.
```

```
134
   1
        inference would be.
            Q. Okay. "The speculators are good for
   2
        liquidity and provide 'fumes'" -- in quotes --
   3
        "for volume and market making."
   4
                 Do you see that?
   5
 6
            A.
                  I do.
   7
                 What do you mean by "'fumes' for volume
            Q.
        and market making"?
 8
  9
            A.
                  I don't --
10
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
11
            A. I don't know.
12
                 "Losing them entirely could cause
13
        problems for us."
 14
                 Do you see that?
15
            A.
                 I do.
             Q.
                 Who is "us"?
  16
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  17
  18
                  I think this is referring to liquidity
  19
        going away and everything we've talked about today
  20
        in the use cases of the -- in facilitating the
  21
        cross currency transactions and cross asset
  22
        transactions and being able to seamlessly move
  23
        from one payment system to another payment system.
  24
        I mean, I think that's kind of the vision of the
        company. I'm sure you've heard people talking
  25
```

```
135
      about this idea on the internet of value. None of
 1
      that's possible if there's no liquidity in the
 2
      system. And so I believe that it's really just
 3
      referring to the larger premise around the value
 4
      prop -- the value proposition of the technology.
 5
           Q. But just the "us," is that Ripple when
 6
      you say "us"?
 7
           A. I don't --
 8
                     MR. HORTON: Objection.
 9
                I don't know. You've asked me that a
10
      couple of times.
11
12
                     THE REPORTER: I didn't hear
13
           the -- I can't hear the last part.
                I don't know.
14
           A.
                Is the "we" Ripple in this e-mail?
15
          0.
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
16
           lack of foundation.
17
                I don't know.
18
           A.
                Okay. Who knows, Mr. Griffin, what you
19
20
      were referring to when you were writing "we" and
21
      "us" in this e-mail?
22
                     MR. HORTON: Objection.
23
            Objection to form.
24
                I don't know from -- what was meant in
      the e-mail from 2014.
25
```

```
136
           Q. Okay. "The speculators are good for
   1
       liquidity." Is that speculators in XRP?
   2
                  MR. HORTON: Objection to form;
   3
            lack of foundation.
 4
           A. I don't know.
   5
 6
            Q. What were XRP purchasers speculating on
       in 2014, if anything?
  7
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
8
                I can't speak to others' motivations.
  9
          Α.
10
          Q. What was your understanding of what
       someone might -- I mean -- let me take a step
11
12
      back.
13
         At some point, you held XRP as well,
14
       correct?
15
           A. Yes.
            Q. And you requested XRP from the company
 16
       as compensation, correct?
 17
 18
         A. Correct.
            Q. What were you hoping would happen to the
  19
 20
       XRP that you obtained?
           A. More liquidity around XRP.
  21
            Q. For what purpose?
  22
  23
            A. Well, for -- for me personally?
  24
            Q. Yes.
           A. I wanted to make money.
  25
```

137 Q. So you could sell it -- sorry. I was hoping that the volume and -- and 2 price would rise and I could sell it. 3 Q. So as a holder of XRP, your hope was 4 that the volume and price would rise. Is that 5 6 fair? 7 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that in 2014 8 you were concerned that we lose speculator 9 10 interest because of someone always ready to flood the supply? 11 12 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 13 A. I don't know. I don't know what this is referring to. 14 15 Q. Is this referring to the overhang concern we discussed earlier today? 16 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 17 He testified that he doesn't remember what 18 19 it's referring to. 20 A. I don't know. Q. And the fumes, what does "fumes" mean? 21 22 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 23 A. I also answered that. I don't -- I 24 don't remember what that means. Q. Okay. Sitting here today, what is your 25

139 adoption of the technology and trading activity? 1 Yes. 2 A. 3 Q. Okay. And while you were at Ripple, did Ripple, you know, engage in work that -- to 4 increase the utilization of the system? 5 6 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 7 A. Yes. While you were at Ripple, did Ripple 8 engage in work to increase adoption of the 9 10 technology? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 11 12 A. I certainly did, yes. 13 Q. And just for the record to be clear, technology meaning? What technology are we 14 talking about? 15 It varied at different times. So I know 16 in the -- in the very early 2013 period, we would 17 18 have been, frankly, putting on more of an 19 evangel -- evangelist role and saying here's the 20 open-source technology, here's all the benefits it 21 can use, and we can -- we can point you to 22 literature about how to integrate to the system. 23 And in later -- later in my time there, 24 we would have spoken to fully sort of full-stack 25 products that Ripple Inc. had built on top of the

```
140
        technology that sort of more, I think, succinct --
   1
        succinctly defined the -- the value proposition
   2
        and how to integrate to it.
   3
   4
           Q. And then while you were at Ripple, did
       Ripple take steps to increase the trading
   5
        activity --
  6
   7
                      MR. HORTON: Objection.
            Q. -- with respect to XRP?
8
                   MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  9
10
            A.
                 I -- I can't speak to -- to Ripple.
            0.
                 Did you?
 11
12
                 To increase the trading activity? What
       does that mean?
 13
            Q. You said trading activity. Whatever you
 14
 15
       meant in your answer.
            A. Yes, I think so. Yes, that makes sense.
 16
            Q. All right.
 17
                      MR. TENREIRO: Let's take a look
 18
             at Exhibit 18. This is a little later in
 19
 20
             time and it's a one-page e-mail. RPLI SEC
 21
             484565.
                      MR. HORTON: Jorge, I think --
 22
 23
             we're thinking about taking a break in
 24
             about ten minutes if that works for you.
                    MR. TENREIRO: That's great.
  25
```

```
141
                      MR. HORTON: Okay.
                      (Pause)
   2
   3
            A.
                 Okay.
                 Mr. Griffin, who is Monica Long?
   4
            A. Well, VP of marketing at Ripple. I
   5
   6
        don't know what her role is at this point.
   7
                 Is it fair to say you know her at least
        based on your employment at Ripple?
 8
   9
            A.
                 Yes.
10
            Q. Okay. How -- how often would you see or
  11
        interact with her in connection with your
12
        employment?
  13
            A. Frequently.
                 For what purpose or purposes?
14
 15
             A. Just staying coordinated. We were both
        on the leadership team.
  16
                  Is it fair to say that it appears as if
  17
        she's drafting some sort of note for the Board of
  18
  19
        Ripple?
  20
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
                  I don't -- I don't know what -- I can't
  21
             A.
  22
        speak to what she's doing.
  23
                 Just from reading it, do you understand
  24
        what she's doing?
                      MR. HORTON: Do you mean as he
  25
```

142 sits here today or does he remember --MR. TENREIRO: Yes, as he sits 2 3 here today. I believe so. I think so. 4 Α. Did you come to present to Ripple's 5 Q. 6 Board on occasion throughout your employment at 7 Ripple? A. I did. 8 For what purpose or purposes? 9 Q. 10 A. To provide updates. Q. On? 11 12 A. Partnerships, what's -- what was 13 happening within the XRP market, investments, how 14 the sort of -- the financing was going with the 15 business, with venture capital. Corporate development updates, possible acquisition targets. 16 Q. How often would you say you presented 17 18 roughly? A. I don't know. Once or twice a year. 19 20 It -- it varied depending on what time period you're talking about. 21 22 Q. Was there any time period where it was 23 more frequent than once or twice a year? 24 A. Sounds about -- where I was the most active, maybe twice a year, sounds like. I -- I 25

143 1 really can't remember, though. Q. To the extent that you made 2 3 presentations, is it fair you spoke at the -- to the Board? Is that fair? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Did you take care in advance of speaking to the Board to make sure you provided 7 truthful information to them? 8 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 9 10 A. Yes. Q. And did you take care to make sure you 11 12 provided accurate information to the best of your 13 ability to the Board? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. Here Ms. Long references "What's 16 missing is the clearly articulated case for XRP." 17 18 Do you see that? I do. 19 A. 20 Sitting here today, do you agree that in Q. November of 2015 a clearly articulated case for 21 22 XRP was missing? 23 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 24 I don't -- I don't -- I can't speak to Monica's e-mail. 25

Q. No, right. I'm not -- just if I ask you sitting here today, agree or disagree with the following statement, there was no clearly articulated case for -- case for XRP in 2015?

A. Oh, I definitely don't read that here.

What I read is -- is a message to the Board and that perhaps a -- a clear message for the Board, I mean, I think you view the Board as -- the Board as people who can advocate for the business and turn -- turn around and talk to it intelligently.

And so I think what -- my read of that is the Board doesn't have the -- the wherewithal or the talking points yet to under -- to turn -- turn around and talk to their network.

And I believe the Board is pretty new in -- I don't think there was a Board in 20 -- at least there wasn't regular Board meetings in 2013 and '14. So this is probably a pretty -- pretty new Board at that point is my vague recollection, but I can't remember.

Q. Okay. To the extent that's your reading of this, do you agree or disagree that in 2014, the Board did not have a, you know, clear message that they could then articulate to their people?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. I don't know. I mean, she was the communications -- she was concerned with communications presumably, but I can't speak to what -- what her intention is here or what the Board did or didn't know.
- Q. Later it says "We today released the first paper in a series to illuminate this case."

 Do you see that?
 - A. Okay.

- Q. What was that paper? Do you recall that paper?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any -- well, then it says "Today's publication establishes the vision for the internet of value, Ripple and XRP. It's geared toward XRP buyers who understand the risks of the investment and are in it for the long haul."
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Did you have any role in sort of
 releasing a paper that establishes the vision for
 the internet of value, Ripple and XRP to third
 parties?
- MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. Outside of the document you're showing

me? I mean, you just -- you showed me a previous

exhibit where -- I think it was from 2013 where we

sort of laid all that out.

Q. Maybe I should have -- I should have framed it. From November of 2015 onwards, did you have a role in -- in engaging in the sort of distributions of materials to third parties where you might be laying out the vision for the internet of value, Ripple and XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. Okay. Well, in fact, I would have had less of a role because the marketing communication function would have been more mature and more established. And so sort of laying it in silos would have been clear -- more clearly defined.
- Q. Okay. So to the -- so just generally, maybe, it was more a marketing role at this point and maybe going forward?
 - A. It's possible.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any role, though, from November 2015 on going forward? Any role at all?
 - A. I don't -- I don't --

MR. HORTON: Object -- objection

to form. Any role at all.

Q. In distributing information for materials to third parties where you might be laying out the vision for the internet of value, Ripple and XRP?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

A. I don't remember.

MR. TENREIRO: All right. Why don't we take a break.

MR. HORTON: Sure. Thank you.

Actually, before we go off the record, I

just -- I want to clarify one thing that
is on the record. Jorge, you made a

comment about productions being made at

midnight, 12 hours before the

deposition --

MR. TENREIRO: Twenty-four hours.

MR. HORTON: Well, I just want the record to be clear that we made two productions on behalf of Mr. Griffin.

They were made two and four days before today's deposition. On Friday, June 25th and Sunday, June 27th. Today is Tuesday, June 29th.

MR. WARD: We'll have an

```
148
              additional clarification from Mr. Larsen,
              but we can do that after the break.
   2
   3
                       THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
              record at 11:46 a.m. Eastern.
   4
   5
                       (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
                       (Record notes Mr. Hecker is now
  6
   7
              present.)
                       THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on
  8
              the record at 12:06 p.m. Eastern.
  9
10
                     MR. WARD: Before we resume, I
 11
              just wanted to make a clarification. We
12
              were discussing earlier Exhibit 11, which
 13
              was a document -- or I think two text
 14
              messages produced by Mr. Larsen with
             terminal Bates numbers 3499 through 3500.
 15
  16
              There was a statement made when we get
 17
              production at midnight, 12 hours before a
 18
              deposition, you have to look at data
              native files which are not actually Bates
  19
 20
              stamped. I just wanted to clarify that
  21
              Mr. Larsen's most recent production was on
  22
              June 11th, at which time he represented
  23
              his document productions were
  24
              substantially complete. The particular
  25
              documents produced -- or, rather,
```

```
149
             introduced today as Exhibit 11 were
            produced on May 24th, which is 36 days
   2
   3
             ago.
                   MR. TENREIRO: Thank you.
   4
       BY MR. TENREIRO:
   5
           Q. Mr. Griffin, in connection with your
  6
   7
        employment at Ripple, does the term "OTC sales"
       mean anything to you?
8
           A.
                 Yes.
  9
10
           Q.
                 What does it mean?
           A.
                 An OTC sale is over-the-counter sale.
11
12
           Q.
                 Sale of what?
13
           A.
                 XRP.
                 And why -- what's the reference to over
14
            Q.
 15
       the counter?
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
  16
                 I think the -- the idea of an O -- what
 17
 18
       we -- I would have thought about an OTC as a sale
       to a large purchaser of XRP.
  19
 20
           Q. And were you -- what was -- what, if
  21
       any, was your involvement with OTC sales of XRP
       while you were employed at Ripple?
  22
  23
                 I managed the team that was charged with
       that responsibility.
  24
           Q. And what -- what did your -- what were
  25
```

150 1 your responsibilities in connection with Ripple's OTC sales of XRP? 2 3 My role was to approve -- or provide updates, I think first and foremost, to the 4 leadership team or to Chris and Brad and the 5 6 finance teams about sales of XRP in 7 over-the-counter markets. 0. Did the team that you -- sorry. 8 Did the team that you -- did the team 9 10 that you managed, was one of their responsibilities to identify potential OTC 11 12 purchasers of XRP? 13 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 14 A. Yes. Yes, that sounds right. 15 And was one of their responsibilities to 0. negotiate the potential purchases of XRP? 16 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 17 18 A. Yes. All right. And is it fair to say that 19 20 you were also involved, at least as a manager of 21 that team, in identifying potential OTC purchasers 22 of XRP? 23 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And is it fair to say that as a manager

```
151
   1
       of the team, you were also involved, to some
        extent at least, in negotiating potential terms of
   2
       OTC purchases of XRP?
   3
                      MR. HORTON: Same objection.
   4
                 Yes.
   5
           A.
6
            Q. And did there come a time during your
  7
        employment at Ripple where you became concerned
       that OTC purchases of XRP could be depressing
8
       XRP's price?
  9
                      MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
10
                 I -- I don't remember.
 11
12
            Q. You don't remember either way is what
 13
       you're saying?
            A. I don't remember that being -- that --
 14
 15
       whether or not that became a concern, but -- OTC
 16
       sales affecting the price of XRP.
 17
                 And just to be clear, I was asking about
 18
       whether it became a concern for you.
 19
                 That's what I was answering.
         A.
 20
           Q. Okay. And the -- the term "programmatic
  21
        sales," does that mean anything in the context of
 22
       your employment with Ripple?
 23
            A. Yes.
 24
            Q. And what does that mean?
                 The sale of XRP in open order books on
  25
            A.
```

exchanges.

- Q. Did you have any role with respect to programmatic sales of XRP while you were employed at Ripple?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your role?
- A. Helping to set targets with our -- the brokers we used to sell XRP, coordinating with finance teams to understand the cash needs that we needed as a business, and working to understand sort of the impact that our sales in open order books were having in the market.
 - Q. So let me take a couple of steps back.

Was it the same team of people that were in charge of OTC sales that were in charge of programmatic sales?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. It -- it was -- it was in the same team, but the responsibilities were divided between different team members. And, of course, that changed over the tenure of my seven years there, six years there.
- Q. Who were the members of this team that we're discussing?
 - A. Well, the -- the leaders of those teams

```
153
       were Phil Rapoport and then Miguel -- Miguel Vias
1
2
       while -- while I was there.
           Q. And while you were there, you supervised
3
       either Rapoport or Vias?
4
5
           A. Yes.
6
            Q. Other names that you might remember that
7
       were members of these teams throughout your
       employment at Ripple?
8
9
                   MR. HORTON: Objection. You said
             "these teams." Is there a particular team
 10
 11
           you're asking about?
 12
                     MR. TENREIRO: Sorry.
 13
            Q.
                The team. The markets team.
                Dinuka and
                                are two others.
 14
            A.
 15
            Q.
 16
            A.
                Yes.
 17
            Q.
                Any others?
 18
            A.
                Not that I can remember right now.
 19
            Q. Okay. And --
 20
                    MR. TENREIRO: Can I scroll this?
 21
            Can I scroll this? I can? Okay. That's
 22
            okay.
 23
            Q. You said you were -- one of the things
 24
       the team did was setting targets? Did you say
 25
       that?
```

A. Yes.

- Q. Targets for what?
- A. For what -- so we're -- specifically we're talking about programmatic sales?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. The objective of the programmatic sales was to sell the XRP that we needed to sell with as little footprint and light-weighted footprint on the market that we could have. So that's what we meant by target. And that was typically measured against the overall volume in the market. I think it was percentage based.
- Q. So you wanted to have as little impact on the volume as possible?

MR. HORTON: Objection to form.

- A. No, that's not what I said. Just as little impact on the market, which I guess there are several variables that would -- that that could comprise.
 - Q. What are those variables?
- A. Price, volume, and, I mean, it could have other -- the -- the tightness of the spread between the bid and the ask. And I think there are other -- yeah, I think that's sort of the first tier concerns there. Yeah.

155 1 Q. Okay. But when you mentioned "footprint," is that -- you were talking about the 2 impact on the market? Is that sort of 3 4 interchangeable? MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 5 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. And in terms of -- I think you mentioned revenue targets, is that correct, with 8 9 respect to programmatic sales? MR. HORTON: Objection. I'm not 10 11 sure he said that. I don't know that I said that. 12 A. 13 Q. Okay. Were -- was there revenue targets with respect to programmatic sales? 14 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 15 I don't know that -- that we had revenue 16 A. targets, but we -- we did have cash flow needs. 17 Q. Cash flow needs. 18 19 And so you had involvement with setting 20 cash flow needs or determining what the cash flow needs were? 21 22 MR. HORTON: Objection to form. 23 No. I had more of an input on -- well, 24 I was more charged with executing and also providing feedback on what I thought was 25

```
156
1
       reasonable or not reasonable.
  2
         Q. With --
           A. I was one of many voices in the room.
3
4
            Q. With respect to cash flow needs you
5
       mean?
6
        A. With respect to cash flow needs derived
7
       from -- cash flow derived from the sale of XRP.
         Q. Okay. And who -- who -- who set the
8
9
       cash flow needs derived from programmatic sales?
                     MR. HORTON: Objection to form.
 10
            A. Typically the finance team.
 11
            Q. Who was on the finance --
 12
 13
           A. Again, it would vary depending on what
       time period you're talking about, but, yes, it
 14
       would include that.
 15
            O. Who was the finance team?
 16
            A. Also changed -- you're asking me who ran
 17
       the finance team or --
 18
            Q. Who was in the finance team when you
 19
 20
       left Ripple?
 21
         A. Ron Will was the CFO, and prior to Ron
       Will, I believe
                                      Yes, sounds
 22
 23
       right.
        Q. All right. Going back to the OTC sales,
 24
 25
       I think you said OTC sales involved large
```